Patent search results you can trust

Close the confidence gap in your search results.

Your R&D, business and litigation teams rely on you for trusted patentability, freedom to operate (FTO), and validity recommendations. You need patent search results that give you confidence.

We put four patent search tools to the test

Working with an outside firm, we compared results from Derwent Innovation™ and three other platforms across four technology domains.

These results show that all patent search tools are not the same – with Derwent Innovation returning significantly more relevant results than any other competitor.

Figure 1: Results summary

- **Derwent Innovation**: 79% more relevant results returned by Derwent Innovation than the next closest competitor.

Legend:
- Relevant results found
- Relevant results not found
Don’t compromise your patentability, FTO or validity decisions.

When the stakes are this high, you can’t afford to rely on patent searches that miss more than half of the total relevant results.

In-depth Comparison: Derwent Innovation vs. Next Closest Competitor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Derwent Innovation</th>
<th>Competitor A</th>
<th>Conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recall</td>
<td>% relevant results returned from the relevant results of all four applications combined</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Competitor A missed 44% of the relevant results found, on average, by Derwent Innovation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precision</td>
<td>% relevant results from each application’s total results</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>More than half of Competitor A’s results were, on average, not relevant.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How patent search applications were evaluated:

We hired an outside firm to gather and review results from Derwent Innovation and three other well-known patent search applications. We provided the searches for each of the four technology domains. The outside firm translated the searches using each application’s specific syntax and gathered the results from each application. To ensure a blind test, the outside firm consolidated the results from each application into a list of patent numbers for each search and removed any data that could be used to identify the originating search application. The results from each search were then reviewed by our team of analysts with subject matter expertise in each specific technology domain. Our analysts scored each result as not relevant, low, medium, or high relevance. These scores were then mapped to the results returned by each application. This allowed us to determine a recall and precision score for each application with equal weight given to each search. These scores are shown as percentages in Figure 1: Results Summary and the table titled “In-Depth Comparison: Derwent Innovation vs. Next Closest Competitor” above.

Contact our experts today:

+1 215 386 0100 (U.S.)
+44 (0) 20 7433 4000 (Europe)
clarivate.com

© 2021 Clarivate. Clarivate and its logo, as well as all other trademarks used herein are trademarks of their respective owners and used under license.