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**Facts about Coronaviruses**

Coronaviruses are a group of large, enveloped, positive-sense, single-stranded RNA viruses belonging to the order Nidovirales, family Coronaviridae, subfamily Coronavirinae. More than two dozen different species are known and have been divided into four genera (alpha, beta, gamma and delta) characterized by different antigenic cross-reactivity and genetic makeup. Only the alpha- and betacoronavirus genera include strains pathogenic to humans and other mammals (Paules, C.I. et al (2020); Chen, Y. et al (2020)).

The first known coronavirus, the avian infectious bronchitis virus, was isolated in 1937 and was the cause of devastating infections in chicken. The first human coronavirus was isolated from the nasal cavity and propagated on human ciliated embryonic trachea cells in vitro by Tyrrell and Bynoe in 1965. However, coronaviruses have been present in humans for at least 500-800 years, and all originated in bats (Berry, M. et al (2015); Su, S. et al (2016); Yang, Y. et al (2020)).

Coronaviruses have long been recognized as important veterinary pathogens, causing respiratory and enteric diseases in mammals as well as in birds. Until 2019, only six coronaviruses were known to cause disease in humans: HCoV-229E, HCoV-OC43, HCoV-NL63, HCoV-HKU1, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) and Middle East respiratory virus coronavirus (MERS-CoV) (Skarivachyan, S. et al (2019); Bonilla-Aldana, D.K. et al (2020)). HCoV-229E and HCoV-NL63 are alphacoronaviruses; the rest are betacoronaviruses (Yang, Y. et al (2020)). The first four are endemic locally; they have been associated mainly with mild, self-limiting disease, although HCoV-HKU1 can cause pneumonia. SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV can cause severe illness (Song, Z. et al (2019); Paules, C.I. et al (2020)). SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV are among the pathogens included in the World Health Organization’s Blueprint List of Priority Diseases (Bonilla-Aldana, D.K. et al (2020)).

Given the high prevalence and wide distribution of coronaviruses, their large genetic diversity as well as the frequent recombination of their genomes, and increasing activity at the human-animal interface, these viruses are recognized as an ongoing threat to human health (Hui, D.S. et al (2020); Zhu, N. et al (2020)). This fact again became strikingly evident in late 2019 and early 2020, when a novel coronavirus was discovered to be the cause of a large and rapidly spreading outbreak of lower respiratory tract infection and disease, including potentially fatal pneumonia, in Wuhan, China (WHO statement regarding cluster of pneumonia cases in Wuhan, China (World Health Organization, January 9, 2020); Coronavirus disease (Covid-19) pandemic (World Health Organization)). The virus— provisionally designated 2019-nCoV and later given the official name SARS-CoV-2, due to its similarity to SARS-CoV— was isolated and the viral genome sequenced. SARS-CoV-2 was characterized as a betacoronavirus and recognized as the seventh discrete coronavirus species capable of causing human disease (Zhu, N. et al (2020)). The disease caused by the virus was officially named Coronavirus Disease 2019 (Covid-19) by WHO.

---

**Important RNA viruses and the diseases they produce in humans**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family/Characteristics</th>
<th>Viruses</th>
<th>Diseases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orthomyxoviruses (Orthomyxoviridae) Single-stranded RNA, enveloped (No DNA step in replication; negative-sense genome; segmented genome)</td>
<td>Influenza A and B virus</td>
<td>Upper respiratory infection, croup</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family</td>
<td>Genus</td>
<td>Disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Paramyxoviruses</strong></td>
<td>(Paramyxoviridae) Single-stranded RNA, enveloped (No DNA step in replication; negative-sense genome; nonsegmented genome)</td>
<td>Parainfluenza 1-3 virus, Respiratory syncytial virus, Measles virus, Mumps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Human coronaviruses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rabies virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rhinoviruses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hepatitis A virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Enteroviruses:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Polioviruses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Coxsackie A24 viruses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Coxsackie B viruses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Coxsackie B1-5 viruses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Coxsackie A9 viruses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Echoviruses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Norwalk virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hepatitis E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Alphaviruses (Group A arboviruses)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rubivirus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Group B arboviruses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hepatitis C virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dengue virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Zika virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Some arboviruses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hantavirus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Coronaviruses are so named because of their characteristic solar corona (crown-like) appearance when observed under an electron microscope. This appearance is produced by the peplomers of the surface (or spike; designated S) glycoprotein radiating from the virus lipid envelope (Chen, Y. et al (2020); Yang, Y. et al (2020)).

Coronaviruses have four major structural proteins. The S glycoprotein is a major antigen responsible for both receptor binding and cell fusion (Song, Z. et al (2019)) and the membrane glycoprotein (M) is involved in budding and envelope formation; the M protein has also been found to play a pivotal role in virion assembly. The viral genome is associated with the basic phosphoprotein nucleocapside (N) within the capsid. The envelope (E) protein is a highly hydrophobic protein encasing the entire structure of the coronavirus. The genome is nonsegmented, positive single-stranded RNA of about 26-32 kb, making it the longest RNA viral genome known, and contains at least six different open reading frames. The RNA molecule has a methylated cap in 5’ and a poly-A tail in 3’ (Schoeman, D. et al (2019); Chen, Y. et al (2020); Pillaiyar, T. et al (2020)).

Coronaviruses are capable of adapting quickly to new hosts through the processes of genetic recombination and mutation in vivo. As RNA viruses, coronaviruses rely on RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) to replicate the virus genome. The intrinsic error rate of RdRp is approximately 1,000,000 mutation/site/replication, resulting in continuous point mutations. Point mutations alone are not sufficient to create a new virus, however; this can only occur when the same host is simultaneously infected with two coronavirus strains, enabling recombination. One coronavirus can gain a genomic fragment of hundreds or thousands base-pair long from another CoV strain when the two co-infect the same host, enabling the virus to increase its ecological niche or to make the leap to a new species (Raj, V.S. et al (2014); Gralinski, L.E. et al (2015)). This susceptibility enabled the emergence in approximately two decades of three new human coronavirus species with epidemic potential: SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 (Chen, J. (2020)).

Epidemiology, Morbidity and Mortality

Coronaviruses, along with influenza, parainfluenza, RSV and rhinoviruses, cause mild, self-limited upper respiratory tract infections including the common cold (Pillaiyar, T. et al (2020)) and
Pneumonia. Coronaviruses are responsible for one-third of cold cases. Coronaviruses can also cause gastroenteritis in humans as well as a plethora of diseases in other animals (Berry, M. et al (2015); Su, S. et al (2016)). Unlike other coronaviruses pathogenic in humans, SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 can cause severe acute respiratory disease, multi-organ failure and/or death.

In a comprehensive epidemiology study conducted over a nine-year period in Sao Paulo, Brazil, human coronaviruses were detected in 7.7% of respiratory samples analyzed. The researchers looked at 1,137 samples obtained from asymptomatic individuals, general community, patients with comorbidities and hospitalized patients. NL63 was the most frequently detected coronavirus overall (50.0%), followed by OC43 (27.3%), albeit with variations by year: in 2004, HCoV-229E was the predominant strain circulating (61.5%) (Cabeça, T.K. et al (2013)). 229E is distributed globally (Su, S. et al (2016)).

A study of 559 upper respiratory samples obtained from adults with acute respiratory infections in Beijing, China in 2014 showed that HCoV-OC43 was present in 12.5%, with prevalence peaking in autumn (Hu, Q. et al (2014)). OC43, which has diverged into five distinct genotypes, is distributed globally (Su, S. et al (2016)). HCoV-NL63 was first isolated from a respiratory sample obtained from pediatric patients in different geographic areas in 2004. The virus, which is now known to be distributed globally, accounts for approximately 4.7% of common respiratory illness worldwide (Su, S. et al (2016)). HKU1 is less commonly isolated, causing a generally mild and self-limited infection that is indistinguishable from other respiratory viruses. It appears to be globally distributed (Su, S. et al (2016)).

An analysis of 686 adult patients presenting with acute respiratory infections in Mallorca, Spain (January 2013-February 2014) showed that 7% overall were caused by coronavirus, including 21.6% of patients in whom viral infection was implicated. The most prevalent strain identified was OC43 (50.0%), followed by NL63 (29%) and 229E (21%). Fifty-two percent of patients with CoV infections required hospitalization, and two patients required intensive care. No CoV infections were fatal in this study (Reina, J. et al (2014)).

A newly identified coronavirus that killed nearly 25,000 piglets in 2016-2017 in China emerged from horseshoe bats near the origin of the SARS-CoV, which emerged in 2002 in the same species of bats (Rhinolophus spp). The new virus, named swine acute diarrhea syndrome coronavirus (SADS-CoV), has not been confirmed to infect humans (Zhou, P. et al (2018)).

**Facts about SARS-CoV**

Severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) was a viral illness caused by a novel coronavirus and affecting the respiratory system. It originated in the Chinese province of Guandong in November 2002 and soon spread throughout Asia, North America and Europe. Worldwide, 33 countries and regions on five continents reported SARS cases, although the most severely affected were mainland China and Hong Kong. By spring 2003, SARS became recognized as a global health threat. The rapid spread of the virus to different continents following the initial outbreak underscored the ease with which infectious diseases can be spread internationally within a highly mobile global population (Heymann, D.L. et al (2013); Yang, Y. et al (2020)).

Although the disease has been absent since 2003, the swift and extensive spread of SARS underlined the need for ongoing surveillance of this and related coronavirus, as well as the maintenance of capacity for rapid response should it reemerge. Equally important lessons of the SARS outbreak were the need for transparency in information sharing and the importance of international coordination of response (McCloskey, B. et al (2020)). In the post-SARS era, the government of mainland China has invested heavily in public health, infectious disease surveillance, response and reporting, enabling the country to respond more effectively to subsequent health threats such as H7N9 avian influenza (Zhanq, Y. et al (2013)) and Covid-19 (Hui, D.S. et al (2020)).

The lessons learned from SARS have also been applied effectively on the international level in terms of response to the ongoing Middle East respiratory virus (MERS-CoV) outbreak, which
emerged in 2012 and is caused by a different strain of coronavirus. These lessons were again put to test in 2020 with the emergence and explosive spread of Covid-19, initially in mainland China and later globally (Perlman, S. (2020)).

**SARS-CoV Morphology, Structure and Replication**

On March 24, 2003, scientists in Hong Kong and at the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) reported the first preliminary evidence that a new coronavirus was the causative agent of SARS. On April 17, 2003, the WHO formally announced that the causative agent of SARS was a newly discovered member of the coronavirus family, which was not known to exist in humans before the disease was recognized. The new coronavirus was only distantly related to previously known and characterized coronaviruses (Berry, M. et al (2015)). Its origin was eventually traced to bats, with the masked palm civet (Civettictis civetta), a tree-dwelling cat, serving as a possible intermediary host that enabled the jump to humans (Song, Z. et al (2019)).

The SARS-CoV virion is spherical with an average diameter of 78 nm. The helical nucleocapsid is enclosed by an envelope (Goldsmith, C.S. et al (2004)) that is covered with club-shaped, long peplomers about 20 nm long, giving it the typical crown-like appearance.

The organization of SARS-CoV is similar to that of other coronaviruses, with the gene order being 5', replicase [rep], spike [S], envelope [E], membrane [M], nucleocapsid [N], 3', flanked by short untranslated regions (Du, L. et al (2009); Song, Z. et al (2019)). Sequences potentially coding for five more nonstructural proteins are interspersed between the ORF S and N.

The genome contains a total of 11 predicted open reading frames that potentially encode as many as 23 mature proteins (Ruan, Y.J. et al (2003)). The two principal ORFs, occupying about two-thirds of the genome, code for two major polyproteins, ORF1a and ORF1b. The polyproteins are cleaved by proteolysis to produce nonstructural proteins, the most important of which are the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (Rep) and an ATPase helicase (Hel). The SARS-CoV has some genetic characteristics that are slightly different from other coronaviruses. There is a short anchor in the S protein, the number and location of the small ORFs are different, there is only one PLP-protease, and a unique, short lysine-rich region exists in the nucleocapsid protein. The biologic significance of these variations is unknown (Rota, P.A. et al (2003); Marra, M.A. et al (2003)).

Coronaviruses enter cells via binding to a host receptor followed by membrane fusion. ACE2 was identified as the cell receptor for SARS-CoV (Wan, Y. et al (2020)). SARS-CoV entry into target cells is inhibited by polyanion compounds that have antiviral activity against other enveloped viruses. This data indicates that the SARS-CoV envelope proteins may have positive charges interacting with negative charges on the heparan sulfate proteoglycans present on the surface of target cells (Vicenzi, E. et al (2004)). The SARS-CoV requires acidification of endosomes for a productive infection, suggesting a pH-dependent mechanism (Simmons, G. et al (2004)). Coronaviruses replicate in the cytoplasm, where viral RNA is synthesized in a specific, flask-shaped compartment surrounded by a double membrane (Gosert, R. et al (2002)). The SARS-CoV infection is associated with ultrastructural changes both in vivo and in cultured cells. These changes include formation of double-membrane vesicles, presence of nucleocapsid inclusions and granulations in the cytoplasm (Goldsmith, C.S. et al (2004)).

The first gene to be translated is a viral RNA polymerase, called replicase, which initially transcribes full-length, negative strand (or antisense) copies of the genome. These negative strands are then used as templates to produce mRNAs that transcribe viral genes. Those subgenomic transcripts are nested, and have identical 5' regions, non-translated, and a poly-A tail in 3'. The different, nested transcripts are not produced by splicing, but by the activity of the viral RNA polymerase. The viral RNA polymerase interacts with a repeated intergenic sequence (TRS, transcription regulating sequence) located between the viral genes and allows the link between the 5' leader sequence and the start of each gene. The replication mechanism has not been completely described, but it is likely to proceed through subgenomic-size, minus-strand RNAs containing the anti-leader sequence. Large granular areas containing viral RNA and proteins that are not seen in cells infected by other coronaviruses may be observed in cells
infected by the SARS-CoV. These regions may be viral translation centers (Goldsmith, C.S. et al (2004); Song, Z. et al (2019)).

The viral particles assemble in the Golgi, accumulate in dilated vesicles that are then transported and secreted to the cell surface, where they are released by exocytosis.

The SARS-CoV has biological characteristics that differ from previously known coronaviruses. SARS-CoV is tropic for Vero cells (a cell line derived from the African green monkey kidney epithelial cells), it grows at 37°C in contrast to other coronaviruses that grow at lower temperature, and can infect the lower respiratory tract (Vicenzi, E. et al (2004)). The SARS coronavirus genome is between 29705 and 29751 nucleotides (NCBI Sequence Viewer: SARS coronavirus). The SARS virus genome did not match any of the three previously known groups of coronaviruses, and had only a weak antigenic relationship to coronaviruses 229E and OC43. The polymerase gene is closely related to the bovine and murine coronaviruses in group 2, but also has some characteristics of avian coronaviruses in group 3. The SARS-CoV does not have a hemagglutinin-esterase present in group 2 and some group 3 coronaviruses, but it has a single papain-like proteinase that is present in group 3 coronaviruses (Holmes, K.V. et al (2003)). The differences between SARS-CoV and other coronaviruses pointed to a new group (Marra, M.A. et al (2003); Rota, P.A. et al (2003)) that was phylogenetically equidistant from the three known groups at that time. A new coronaviruses group 4 was proposed, of which the SARS-CoV is the only member. The discovery of SARS-CoV drove the search for other, previously unknown, human coronaviruses. Two such viruses were identified shortly thereafter: HCoV-NL63 (2004) and HCoV-HKU1 (2005). Both appear to be distributed worldwide, and at least the former has been circulating in human populations for centuries (Berry, M. et al (2015); Abdel-Moneim, A.S. (2014)).

Transmission

The SARS coronavirus was transmitted through large droplets and via direct contact (Wong, S.S. et al (2008)). The virus can reach a concentration of about 100 million particles per ml in sputum (Drosten, C. et al (2003)) and can survive on contaminated surfaces and objects at room temperature for up to six days (Cleri, D.J. et al (2010)).

Two major factors contributed to the rapid spread of SARS: a highly mobile international population and high urban population densities (Arita, I. et al (2003)). Attack rates were higher than 50% in the healthcare setting during the outbreak, while household transmission was less efficient (6-8%) (Goh, D.L. et al (2004); Lau, J.T. et al (2004)). Simulation studies performed after the outbreak suggested that physicians and other health care workers were the principal vectors of SARS transmission in the hospital setting (Cleri, D.J. et al (2010)). Practices such as use of ventilators and nebulized bronchodilators may cause aerosols and spread of droplets containing virus. The risk of spreading the virus may also be increased by cardiopulmonary resuscitation, bronchoscopy, endotracheal intubation, airway and sputum suction (Cleri, D.J. et al (2010); Chen, W.Q. et al (2009)). Nosocomial spread was reduced through use of surgical masks, gloves and gowns.

Virus load and shedding peaked at approximately 10 days from the appearance of clinical symptoms, when the patient’s status worsened and required medical attention. Thus patients were most infectious at the time of seeking health care. Viral shedding continued for at least 13 more days (range 2-60 days) (Cleri, D.J. et al (2010)). Patients were not infectious during the incubation period (Zeng, G. et al (2009)).

A few patients were identified as SARS "superspreaders" who spread the virus efficiently because they harbored above-normal levels of virus (Yang, Y. et al (2020)). Superspreading seems to be associated with high virus titer, aerosol generation, contamination of the environment, and close contact with others in a healthcare setting (Cleri, D.J. et al (2010)).

Viral RNA may persist long after seroconversion, and could be detected in respiratory secretions, plasma and feces for some weeks (Drosten, C. et al (2003)). The SARS outbreak revealed the susceptibility of modern hospitals to nosocomial infections and emphasized the
importance of implementing measures to reduce the risk of hospital infections (Gopalakrishna, G. et al (2004)).

Symptoms and Disease

The SARS-CoV preferentially infects the lower respiratory tract, resulting in a severe, acute viral pneumonia. The WHO case definition for probable SARS included high fever (>38°C) or history of fever in the previous 48 hours; new infiltrates on chest x-ray suggestive of pneumonia; flu-like symptoms (chills, cough, malaise, myalgia) or history of exposure to SARS-CoV; and one or more positive diagnostic tests for SARS (Cleri, D.J. et al (2010)). Unfortunately, the initial symptoms and clinical appearance were not easily distinguishable from other common respiratory infections, and fever was sometimes absent in older adults.

Analysis of both autopsy samples and experimentally infected animals indicates that the SARS-CoV infection in the lung affects the pneumonic areas and is detected in type 2 pneumocytes (Gralinski, L.E. et al (2015)). Morphological changes in tissues included diffuse alveolar damage, denudation of the bronchial epithelium, loss of cilia, and squamous metaplasia. Giant-cell infiltration, hemophagocytosis and cytomegalic alveolar pneumocytes were also observed in some cases (Liu, J. et al (2020)). The infection progresses through an inflammatory or exudative phase (characterized by hyaline-membrane formation, pneumocyte proliferation and edema), a proliferative phase and a fibrotic phase (Gralinski, L.E. et al (2015)).

The respiratory tract was the main target of the SARS-CoV, although the gastrointestinal tract could also be involved (Paules, C.I. et al (2020)). Infection of the central nervous system was also reported (Zhang, D.M. et al (2008)). Symptomatically, SARS generally followed a triphasic pattern. In the first week after infection, symptoms usually consisted of fever and myalgia. These early symptoms may have been related to direct viral cytopathic effects, since increases in viral load could be detected by PCR during this phase of the disease. Seroconversion was detected during the second week and was followed by a reduction of viral load. The innate immune response was insufficient to control the SARS-CoV infection because decreases in viral load are coincident with the specific antibody response (Peiris, J.S. et al (2003)). A third phase occurred in 20% of infected patients and was characterized clinically by disease progression that could not be explained by uncontrolled viral replication. This phase could be the result of an excessive and aberrant albeit ineffective host immune response, ultimately leading to SARS-associated lung damage and, potentially, death (Gralinski, L.E. et al (2015); Zümla, A. et al (2020)).

Symptoms of SARS during the 2003 outbreak were not identical in all patients. Nearly 100% of adults and children presented with fever, and approximately half with cough and/or myalgia. Only a few patients had upper respiratory symptoms. Diarrhea was reported in 11-15% of patients at presentation (Cleri, D.J. et al (2010)) and in up to 40-70% of hospitalized patients (Hui, D.S. (2005)). Lymphopenia, leukopenia, thrombocytopenia were detected in some patients. Elevation of enzymes such as lactate dehydrogenase, aspartate aminotransferase and creatinine kinase levels indicated an effect of SARS on the liver in some patients (Drosten, C. et al (2003); Cleri, D.J. et al (2010)). Others presented with symptoms unexpected in a respiratory infection, such as acute abdominal pain (Poutanen, S.M. et al (2003)). Pulmonary infiltrates were present on chest radiography. The changes in lung tissue pointed to damage inflicted by cytokines and chemokines (Gralinski, L.E. et al (2015)).

During the outbreak, about 40% of infected patients developed respiratory failure requiring assisted ventilation, however 90% of patients recovered within a week after the first appearance of symptoms. Smokers required mechanical ventilation more frequently than nonsmokers (Poutanen, S.M. et al (2003)). Older patients had greater morbidity and mortality, the result of an aging-related attenuation in the adaptive immune response (Frieman, M. et al (2008); Schäfer, A. et al (2014)).

Fatal SARS was the result of progressive respiratory impairment caused by damage to the lung alveoli. While the mortality rate during the SARS outbreak was <1% for patients under age 24 (Hui, D.S. et al (2010)), it increased to about 13% in patients under age 60, and was much higher (approximately 50%) in those over 60 and in those developing acute respiratory distress syndrome (approximately 50%) (Cleri, D.J. et al (2010); Schäfer, A. et al (2014)). The overall
mortality rate during the outbreak was approximately 10%. Fatal cases of SARS-CoV infection were characterized by aberrant interferon signaling and a dysregulated adaptive immune response, or "cytokine storm" (Liu, J. et al (2020)). Independent correlates of adverse clinical outcome included known history of diabetes/hyperglycemia, advanced age, male gender, comorbid hepatitis, high neutrophil counts at admission and high levels of lactate dehydrogenase, reflecting tissue necrosis related to the immune hyperactivity (Cleri, D.J. et al (2010); Hui, D.S. et al (2010)). A positive association was reported between air pollution and higher case-fatality rates (Cleri, D.J. et al (2010)). Host genetic variants may have also influenced variations in disease response (Schäfer, A. et al (2014)).

SARS infection was less prevalent as well as less aggressive in young children (Berry, M. et al (2015)). The highest rates of infection occurred in people of 20-39 years of age, whereas only 1% of cases occurred in children under age 10 years (Liang, W. et al (2004)). High rates among young adults may reflect cases among healthcare workers, while similar high rates in older people may be the consequence of nosocomial infections.

A prospective, observational study reported in 2007 was the first to provide comprehensive information regarding the long-term outcomes of SARS survivors. The 117 SARS survivors from Toronto, Ontario, underwent physical examination, pulmonary function testing, chest radiography and the six-minute walk test, filled out quality-of-life surveys and provided information regarding healthcare utilization at three different points (3, 6 and 12 months) following hospital discharge. The results showed that most SARS survivors had recovered fully from the physical illness by one year. However, general health, vitality and social functioning were below normal in many SARS survivors one year after illness, and many patients reported being unable to return to their pre-SARS level of work. Health care utilization, especially with respect to psychiatric care, was significantly higher than normal during the period of evaluation, and patients reported important decrements in mental health. Family caregivers of SARS survivors also reported suffering psychological consequences (Tansey, C.M. et al (2007)). A later study of 22 long-term survivors in Toronto established that chronic post-SARS morbidity persisted for up to 20 months after onset of illness. Symptoms included chronic widespread musculoskeletal pain, fatigue, depression and disordered sleep (Moldofsky, H. et al (2011)). A long-term follow-up study reported by Hong Kong researchers also found significant psychiatric morbidities and persistent fatigue in 233 SARS survivors at the fourth year of follow-up (Lam, M.H. et al (2009)); another Hong Kong follow-up study suggested that long-term impairment was more pronounced in health care workers (Ngai, J.C. et al (2010)).

Epidemiology and Cost of the SARS Epidemic

A total of 8,422 cases and 919 resulting deaths resulted worldwide during the SARS outbreak. Mainland China was hardest hit, with 5,328 cases and 349 deaths (Yanq, Y. et al (2020)). Epidemiologic studies estimated that the average incubation time was 6.4 days. Mortality was 6.8% in younger patients and was as high as 43% in patients over the age of 60 years (Cleri, D.J. et al (2010)). The global case-fatality rate was 11% (Wong, S.S. et al (2008)), albeit with significant variation between regions (Lau, E.H. et al (2010)).

The SARS epidemic had important economic implications, with a global economic impact over two years estimated at between USD 40 billion (Ayittey, F.K. et al (2020)) and up to USD 100 billion (Paules, C.I. et al (2020)). The total economic impact of SARS in mainland China in 2003 has been estimated at USD 25.3 billion (Zhang, Y. et al (2013)), including losses to the tourism sector in Beijing alone estimated at USD 1.4 billion (Beutels, P. et al (2009)).

The rapid and effective containment of SARS just months after its international recognition was achieved thanks to an unprecedented international collaboration between researchers, healthcare providers and health authorities (Braden, C.R. et al (2013)). However, factors and circumstances that caused the emergence of SARS are not understood and a reemergence of the disease remains possible, particularly in light of the fact that animal reservoirs of this and other coronaviruses still exist (Berry, M. et al (2015); Yanq, Y. et al (2020)).
For more epidemiology information, consult the Incidence and Prevalence Database (IPD): IPD: Severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS).

**Facts about MERS-CoV**

In September 2012, WHO reported two cases of acute respiratory illness, ultimately fatal, accompanied by renal failure and caused by a previously unknown human coronavirus (Milne-Price, S. et al (2014); Chan, J.F. et al (2015)). The earliest known case has been traced to April 2012 (Chan, P.K. et al (2013)). The novel betacoronavirus responsible for the disease, formally named Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV), appears to have originated in bats (Zumla, A. et al (2015)) and uses dromedary camels as intermediate hosts (Cho, H. et al (2018)). Although it also pertains to the Coronavirinae family, the new virus was shown to be genetically different from the SARS coronavirus and to use a different host-cell receptor, identified as dipeptidyl peptidase 4 (DPP4, also known as CD26) (Li, F. et al (2019)). In a human lung epithelial cell assay, MERS-CoV was shown to elicit a distinct pattern of host gene expression responses. The virus is a cause for concern due to its zoonotic potential and the high case fatality rate (approximately 35%) (Li, F. et al (2019)).

![Transmission electron micrograph of a single Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus (MERS-CoV) virion. Credit: NIAID/RML](image)

WHO has released interim guidelines for the appropriate care of patients in whom this infection is suspected (see Clinical management of severe acute respiratory infection when Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) infection is suspected - Interim guidance (World Health Organization, 2019)). See WHO Global Alert and Response (GAR): Coronavirus Infections and CDC - Coronavirus home page for up-to-date information from WHO and CDC.

**MERS-CoV Morphology, Structure and Replication**

MERS-CoV is a positive-sense, enveloped, single-stranded RNA virus with a genome size of 29.9 kB. It is classified as a betacoronavirus, and is more closely related to bat coronaviruses such as HKU4 and HKU5 than it is to SARS-CoV. Seroepidemiology studies have failed to uncover evidence of past infections with MERS-CoV in the general population of the affected geographic region, supporting the affirmation that this was a new virus (Chan, J.F. et al (2015)).

The genome arrangement of MERS-CoV is 5’ - replicase - structural proteins (spike - envelope - membrane - nucleocapsid) - poly(A) - 3’, similar to other coronaviruses. The virus has 10 open reading frames (ORFs) and 16 putative nonstructural proteins that are involved in the processes of viral transcription and replication (Chan, J.F. et al (2015); Skariyachan, S. et al (2019)).

The virus gains entry into the host cell by binding to DPP4 receptors expressed in the lower airway as well as in the kidney and other organs (Paules, C.I. et al (2020)). It uses host proteases to gain entry into lung cells. The protease furin activates the S protein on the viral envelope,
mediating membrane fusion and enabling virus entry into the host cell (Banik, G.R. et al (2015)). Like the SARS-CoV, the Middle East respiratory virus is able to overcome the host innate immune response until high virus titres have been achieved, and induces cytokine dysregulation (Gralinski, L.E. et al (2015); Skariyachan, S. et al (2019)).

Transmission

The MERS-CoV virus presumably originated in bats, although it was initially unclear how it made the leap from bats to humans (Abdel-Moneim, A.S. (2014)). CDC investigators were first to identify dromedary camels as an intermediate or amplifying host and the most likely source of zoonotic transmission in the Middle East (Arabi, Y.M. et al (2017); Killerby, M.E. et al (2020)). Several possible routes of spread exist, including direct contact with the animals—particularly juvenile camels—and their bodily fluids, as well as meat handling and/or consumption of unpasteurized camels’ milk (Widagdo, W. et al (2019); Killerby, M.E. et al (2020)).

Although it is primarily a zoonotic virus, nonsustained human-to-human transmission has been confirmed in 53-60% of all cases, albeit predominantly in health care settings and family clusters. Humans are considered terminal or transient hosts, however, with an R0 of <1 (Killerby, M.E. et al (2020)). Patients with severe to fatal infection are more likely to transmit the virus, since they shed a higher amount of virus progeny in comparison to those with asymptomatic or mild infection (Widagdo, W. et al (2019)). Like SARS-CoV, droplets are believed to constitute the principal mode of transmission of MERS-CoV (Cho, H. et al (2018)). Nosocomial spread, i.e. contamination via contact with virus on environmental surfaces, was also confirmed during the Korean outbreak in 2015 (Bin, S.Y. et al (2016); Cho, H. et al (2018)).

Symptoms and Disease

The incubation period is approximately 5 days (range 2-15 days), with 94% of patients showing signs of disease by day 12 (Chan, J.F. et al (2015)). Typical presenting symptoms are nonspecific and include fever, chills, nonproductive cough, dyspnea, rigor, headache, myalgia and malaise. Some patients present with gastrointestinal symptoms, including diarrhea, nausea and vomiting, and abdominal pain. Acute renal impairment is a unique feature of MERS and occurs with significantly greater frequency than was seen in patients with SARS (Song, Z. et al (2019); Paules, C.I. et al (2020)).

Pathological features of MERS-CoV infection include exudative pulmonary edema, diffuse alveolar damage with hyaline membranes, type II pneumocyte hyperplasia, interstitial pneumonia, and necrosis of the bronchial submucosal glands (Liu, J. et al (2020)).

Symptoms and manifestations of Middle East respiratory syndrome range from mild or asymptomatic infection to severe pneumonia, acute respiratory distress, septic shock and multiorgan failure resulting in death (Zumla, A. et al (2015); Zumla, A. et al (2016)). Respiratory failure with ARDS and multiorgan dysfunction syndrome are not uncommon, and the majority of patients with these complications will require admission to the intensive care unit within 2-5 days of symptom onset. The median time from symptom onset to invasive ventilation and/or extracorporeal membrane oxygenation in these patients is 4.5 to 7 days (Chan, J.F. et al (2015)). Risk of severe disease is higher in men over age 45, people with preexisting medical conditions including diabetes, obesity chronic kidney disease, chronic cardiac disease and COPD (Chan, J.F. et al (2015); Zumla, A. et al (2016)), and in health care workers. While the early case-fatality rate was close to 60%, this has decreased with improved awareness and surveillance; however, mortality remains above 35% (Al-Tawfiq, J.A. et al (2014); Chafekar, A. et al (2018)). The probability of a fatal outcome is much greater among patients aged 50 years and older as compared to younger patients (77% vs. 22%, respectively) (Cauchemez, S. et al (2014)). Mortality is also higher in men and in patients with multiple comorbidities (Banik, G.R. et al (2015); Chan, J.F. et al (2015)).
Epidemiology of MERS

Since September 2012, cases of MERS-CoV have been reported in 27 countries including Italy, the Netherlands, France, Germany, Italy, Tunisia, Malaysia, United Kingdom, United States, Iran, Egypt, Lebanon and Turkey (Chafekar, A. et al (2018); Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) (World Health Organization), consulted March 19, 2020). Initial cases were restricted to the Middle East as well as two cases in the U.K. among family members of an infected individual who had recently traveled from Saudi Arabia. Several cases later occurred in clusters, including a hospital outbreak in Saudi Arabia, and confirmed that the virus can be transmitted between humans during close contact (Assiri, A. et al (2013); Zumla, A. et al (2015)). As of January 2020, the World Health Organization had been notified of 2,519 laboratory-confirmed human cases of infection with the virus and 866 resulting deaths (Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) (World Health Organization), consulted March 19, 2020). The case-fatality rate remains extremely high: in excess of 30% (Salamatbakhsh, M. et al (2019)).

Published epidemiology figures reflect only the number of patients with clinical manifestations of MERS. However, a study of the general population of Saudi Arabia suggests that the rate of asymptomatic disease is much higher. Based on a serosurvey of individuals aged 15 and older who were seen by a health care professional or participated in a national burden-of-disease study between December 2012 and December 2013, nearly 45,000 people in that country were estimated to be seroprevalent for MERS-CoV, and may constitute a source of infection for individuals who do not come into contact with camels (Müller, M.A. et al (2015)). Moreover, a study of travelers to countries affected by MERS between September 2012-2016 has enabled a more precise estimate of the number of severe MERS cases in those countries (Saudi Arabia, United Arab Emirates, Jordan and Qatar). The researchers estimated that approximately 3,300 cases of severe disease occurred in that span of time, a number that is 2.3 times greater than the total number of laboratory-confirmed infections (O’Hagan, J.J. et al (2016)).

On May 20, 2015, the index case in what became the largest outbreak of MERS-CoV outside the kingdom of Saudi Arabia was reported in the Republic of Korea. The index patient had recently traveled to four countries in the Middle East, and returned to Korea while still asymptomatic. Between May 2015 and June 2016, there were 185 laboratory-confirmed cases, including 38 fatalities, in Korea, as well as an additional case in China. The outbreak cost the central government of the Republic of Korea USD 860 million in concept of quarantine system reform, emergency support for hospitals and other MERS response activities, and loans for affected medical institutions. Direct medical costs of the outbreak were approximately USD 12 million (Joo, H. et al (2019)).

The epidemiology of new MERS infections appears to follow a seasonal pattern, with outbreaks in the spring of 2013, 2014 and 2015 coinciding with the months when camels give birth (Al-Tawfiq, J.A. et al (2014)).

Although the data is still evolving, the basic reproduction number (R0) for the MERS-CoV is generally considered to be less than 1, indicating low pandemic potential unless the virus mutates (Killerby, M.E. et al (2020)).

For more epidemiology information, consult the Incidence and Prevalence Database (IPD): IPD: Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV).

Facts about COVID-19

In late 2019, a new coronavirus began causing febrile respiratory illness in mainland China; two months later, the rapidly spreading disease was officially named Coronavirus Disease 2019 (Covid-19) by WHO (Lai, C.C. et al (2020)). Earliest reports of the illness were issued by doctors in the densely populated city of Wuhan, Hubei province. Index cases were linked to the Huanan wholesale seafood market, which was immediately closed. Although the initial cases were traced to zoonotic transmission, human-to-human transmission was soon documented, both in healthcare settings and in familial clusters (Chan, J.F. et al (2020); Li, Q. et al (2020)). In fact,
following the initial leap across the species barrier, human-to-human transmission quickly became responsible for widespread and rapid dissemination of the virus across populations with no preexisting immunity (Chen, J. (2020)); the disease spread from a single focal point across the entire country of China in just 30 days (Wu, Z. et al (2020)). In January, the Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention (China CDC) acknowledged that only 22% of the 198 confirmed Covid-19 cases included in its outbreak analysis could be traced to a Huanan market-related exposure (Wu, J.T. et al (2020)).

The causative virus—originally termed 2019-nCoV—was sequenced and identified as a betacoronavirus belonging to the sarbecovirus subgenus, with approximately 80% similarity in genetic sequence to SARS-CoV (Zhu, N. et al (2020); Perlman, S. (2020)) overall, and more than 90% sequence identity with respect to various essential enzymes (Morse, J.S. et al (2020)). The new virus is even more closely related (more than 90% sequence homology) to Bat-CoV-RaTG13, which was previously identified in Rhinolophus affinis (intermediate horseshoe bat) from Yunnan Province (Yang, Y. et al)

![Coronavirus Taxonomy](image)

**SARS-CoV-2 Morphology, Structure and Replication**

The SARS-CoV-2 viral genome is a single-stranded, positive-sense RNA with 14 open reading frames (ORFs) encoding for four structural (S, E, M and N), 15 nonstructural (including 3-chymotrypsin-like protease, papain-like protease, helicase, and RNA-dependent RNA polymerase) and 8 accessory proteins (Li, G. et al (2020); Yang, Y. et al (2020)). Like SARS-CoV, the new coronavirus deploys the densely glycosylated S protein (which consists of S1 and S2 subunits) for virus-cell receptor interaction and viral entry (Li, G. et al (2020)), with ACE2 serving as its binding receptor on the host cell (Wan, Y. et al (2020)). ACE2 receptors are found on a variety of cells, including arterial and venous endothelial cells, arterial smooth muscle, respiratory tract epithelium, small intestinal epithelia, respiratory tract epithelium and immune cells (Madjid, M. et al (2020)). The binding affinity of the SARS-CoV-2 S protein for ACE2 is 10- to 20-fold greater than that of SARS-CoV, which may help to explain its more rapid spread through human populations (He, F. et al (2020); Wrapp, D. et al (2020)). The virus uses the host cellular proteases such as TMPRSS2 for S protein priming, an essential step for entry into the host cell (Hoffmann, M. et al (2020)).

Due to its similarities to SARS virus, the Coronavirus Study Group of the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV) named the new virus SARS-CoV-2. The native animal host of SARS-CoV-2 is presumed to be a bat; a wild animal sold at the Huanan market—most likely, a pangolin—is believed to have served as an amplifying intermediate host (Perlman, S. (2020); Lu, R. et al (2020); Yang, Y. et al (2020)), as bat-derived coronaviruses cannot directly infect humans (Wang, R. et al (2020)).
Transmission

Transmission of the virus is primarily via inhalation of suspended respiratory secretions, i.e., droplets generated when an infected individual coughs, sneezes or speaks, or through direct contact with an infected patient (Lai, C.C. et al (2020)). There is a possibility that viral RNA may also be transmitted in microparticles of saliva, e.g. in exhaled air or when speaking, although this remains to be confirmed (Cheng, V.C.C. et al (2020)). Viral load in saliva peaks at presentation and remains high for at least the first week of symptomatic illness, gradually declining thereafter but remaining detectable for 20 days or more (Kai-Wang, K. et al (2020)). The virus can also be transmitted via fomites. It remains viable for up to 24 hours on cardboard and for up to 72 hours on plastic and stainless steel (van Doremalen, N. et al (2020)). Infectious droplets and body fluids can also contaminate the human conjunctival epithelium, producing ocular complications that may then progress to respiratory infection; this route of transmission was reported in Wuhan, China (Lu, C.W. et al (2020)). At later stages of infection, viral persistence has been detected in anal swabs, blood and serum, suggesting additional shedding mechanisms and the potential for transmission via the oral-fecal or body fluid routes (Zhang, W. et al (2020)). The risk of vertical transmission remains unclear. No virus was detected in amniotic fluid, cord blood, neonatal throat swab or breastmilk samples obtained from six women developing laboratory-confirmed Covid-19 in late pregnancy (Chen, H. et al (2020)). In another sample of 33 Covid-19-positive mothers, three infants presented with early-onset SARS-CoV-2 infection, despite strict infection control and prevention procedures during the delivery. Vertical maternal-fetal transmission could not be ruled out in this cohort (Zeng, L. et al (2020)).

A study of the transmission dynamics in the first 425 confirmed cases in Wuhan concluded that SARS-CoV-2 is extremely contagious, and estimated a basic reproduction number (R0) of 2.2 (Li, Q. et al (2020)); later studies with more data suggested a higher R0 of 2.24-3.58 (Lai, C.C. et al (2020)). An active monitoring study of U.S. patients infected with Covid-19 found that the symptomatic secondary attack rate (R0) was just 0.45% among all close contacts, but increased to 10.5% among household members (Burke, R.M. et al (2020)). In contrast, the R0 for both SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV is less than 1 (Wu, J.T. et al (2020)). Similar to SARS, superspreading events have been reported during the Covid-19 outbreak (Liu, Y. et al (2020)).

It soon became apparent that the infection could be transmitted by individuals during the prodromal period (Heymann, D.L. et al (2020)), as well as by those who remain asymptomatic throughout their infection (Yang, Y. et al (2020)).

According to a study of 28 infector-infected pairs, the serial interval—the time from symptom onset in a primary patient to the onset of symptoms in a secondary patient—of Covid-19 (4.0 to 4.6 days) is close to or shorter than its median incubation period (5.1 days). This finding is significant because it suggests a more important role of pre-symptomatic transmission, implying that the isolation of cases as a means of curtailing the outbreak might not be as effective as once believed (Lauer, S.A. et al (2020); Nishiura, H. et al (2020)).
Symptoms and Disease

The incubation period ranges from 2-14 days (median 5.1 days) (Lauer, S.A. et al (2020)), after which Covid-19 disease manifestation varies widely, from an asymptomatic carrier state to acute respiratory disease or pneumonia. Up to 30% of infections are asymptomatic (Lai, C.C. et al (2020); Nishiura, H. et al (2020)). Symptomatic respiratory illness ranges from mild to severe, with symptoms that include (from most to least common) fever, cough, dyspnea, myalgia, headache and diarrhea. Chest CT scan reveals the presence of bilateral ground-glass opacities (Huang, C. et al (2020); Wu, Z. et al (2020); Lai, C.C. et al (2020)).

In an early description of 41 clinical cases in Wuhan, China, clinical presentations were very similar to those of SARS and included fever (98%), cough (76%) and myalgia or fatigue (44%). All patients had pneumonia with abnormal findings on chest CT; 32% had underlying diseases including diabetes, hypertension and cardiovascular disease. The most severely ill patients developed acute respiratory distress syndrome, a syndrome characterized by the acute onset of hypoxemic respiratory failure with bilateral infiltrates, requiring ICU admission and oxygen therapy. Critically ill patients showed elevated plasma levels of IL-2, IL-7, IL-10, G-CSF, IP10, MCP1, MIP1A and TNF-alpha—a so-called “cytokine storm”—, corresponding with disease severity. The mortality rate in this early patient set was approximately 15% (Huang, C. et al (2020); Zumla, A. et al (2020)), and primarily involved older patients with serious underlying diseases or conditions. A later analysis of a larger group of Chinese patients (N = 44,672) found an overall mortality rate of 2.3%, which increased with age, from zero in children under 9 to 14.8% in those over 80 (Unknown Author (2020); Wu, Z. et al (2020)). The presence of comorbidities, including cardiovascular disease and diabetes, was shown in various patient series to be associated with a significantly higher risk of mortality (Madjid, M. et al (2020)). Other factors associated with poor prognosis include indicators of increased disease severity (oxygenation, respiratory rate, leukocyte/lymphocyte count, chest imaging findings), older age and delay in diagnosis. Male gender has been linked to more severe disease and worse outcomes in several patient groups (Lai, C.C. et al (2020)).

A challenge for scientists studying Covid-19 is that those who are infected with subclinical or mild disease might not present to health care centers, impacting the accuracy of total case counts and calculation of case-fatality rates. Moreover, asymptomatic, seemingly healthy individuals can spread the virus to their contacts at home and at work as well as during travel (Munster, V.J. et al (2020); Bai, Y. et al (2020)).

Epidemiology, Morbidity and Mortality

According to WHO, as of April 8, 2020, more than 1.3 million laboratory-confirmed cases of Covid-19 had been diagnosed and reported in 212 countries, areas or territories worldwide. Although early cases were concentrated in mainland China, the outbreak in that country began slowing in late February, at the same time that it began picking up in other countries. Beginning on February 25, more new cases of Covid-19 were reported each day from countries outside China than from mainland China itself; a week later, the number of daily deaths outside mainland China began to surpass those inside the country, and on March 16, the total number of cases outside mainland China overtook the total number of Chinese cases. As of April 8, WHO confirmed 74,304 deaths from Covid-19 worldwide, with the epicenter of the outbreak now in the European region and the U.S. The risk assessment of this event, as determined by WHO, was very high at the global level (Coronavirus disease (Covid-19) pandemic (World Health Organization), consulted April 8, 2020). For contrast, SARS-CoV caused more than 8,000 symptomatic infections resulting in 800 deaths, and MERS-CoV to date (as of February 4, 2020) has infected 2,494 individuals and caused 858 deaths (Wu, J.T. et al (2020)). On January 30, under recommendation from the International Health Regulations (2005) Emergency Committee, the Director-General of WHO declared the Covid-19 outbreak a Public Health Emergency of International Concern (PHEIC) (Unknown Author (2020)). On March 11, WHO characterized the outbreak a global pandemic. At that point, cases had been reported in 114 countries (WHO Director-General’s opening remarks at the media briefing on COVID-19 – 11 March 2020 (World Health Organization press release)). To track the outbreak in real time,
The case-fatality rate in a study of the first 44,000 cases of Covid-19 in mainland China was 2.3% (Unknown Author (2020)). Mortality among symptomatic patients is believed to be in the range of 0.5% to 4%, while among patients who require hospitalization, the rate increases to 5% to 15%. In the early Hubei Province case series, mortality among critically ill patients ranged from 22% to 62%. These numbers will change as the outbreak evolves (Murthy, S. et al (2020)). Risk of death is highest among the elderly and patients with comorbidities, but the disease affects individuals of all ages. An analysis of data on 2,143 pediatric cases of Covid-19 reported to the Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention between January 16 and February 8, 2020 confirmed that the disease does not spare children, although manifestations may be more mild. Infants were especially vulnerable (Dong, Y. et al (2020)). In Italy, a much higher case-fatality rate of 7.2% (as of March 17, 2020) was reported. Three factors that potentially explain this difference were identified: the older age of the population (23% aged 65 years or older), testing strategies (only symptomatic individuals are tested) and the definition of Covid-19-related death applied in that country. Uniform testing and reporting guidelines are needed in order to generate standard epidemiology data across all affected countries (Onder, G. et al (2020)). As the pandemic continued to progress, and taking into account the total spectrum of disease, the overall case-fatality ratio in mainland China was estimated in a modeling study at 1.38%, ranging from 0.31% in those under 60 years of age to 6.38% in those 60 years and older. In an international sample of patients included in the same modeling study, the case-fatality ratio was estimated at 2.7% overall, ranging from 1.4% in patients aged < 60 years to 4.5% in those aged 60 years and older (Verity, R. et al (2020)).

The rapid spread and ease of transmission of the virus are causing global alarm. Experts point out that although the virus poses a relatively low health threat at the individual level, it is easily transmissible and thus poses a significant risk at the population level. Careful surveillance of SARS-CoV-2 virus is critical to monitor its future host adaption, viral evolution, infectivity, transmissibility and pathogenicity (Huang, C. et al (2020)).


Economic Impact

In an intricately intertwined global economy, the costs of disruption due to the Covid-19 pandemic are becoming starkly apparent. This unprecedented event has interrupted global trade and supply chains, depressed asset prices, and forced multinational corporations to make difficult decisions based on limited and constantly evolving information (Ayittey, F.K. et al (2020)).

The eventual impact of the Covid-19 pandemic on industries including tourism, manufacturing, commerce and trade, as well as its impact on global supply chains, has been and will continue be vast, both within China and globally. According to one estimate, China’s GDP year-on-year growth during Q1 2020 will be down at least 4.5%, while global GDP will be suppressed by approximately 0.42% during Q1. This is comparable to the World Bank’s estimates of global GDP loss due to a severe influenza outbreak: 0.5%, equivalent to USD 300 billion, and dwarfs the losses attributed to the 2002-03 SARS outbreak, when China played a much smaller role in the global economy (Ayittey, F.K. et al (2020)).

Diagnosis

During the SARS epidemic, the FDA and CDC collaborated on the validation and licensing of SARS diagnostic tests. Approaches to diagnostic testing include serologic detection, virus isolation in cell culture, electron microscopy and detection of viral RNA by molecular methods. Both ELISA and immunofluorescent serologic tests for detecting coronavirus antibodies were developed (Suresh, M.R. et al (2008)). The availability of RNA sequence information on a number of strains of SARS viruses facilitated the subsequent development of rapid diagnostic tests.
Molecular tests based on reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) detect viral RNA. RT-PCR was an early detection test available for SARS-CoV, but its sensitivity was low, identifying only 37.5-50% of probable cases (Suresh, M.R. et al (2008)).

Two-step conventional and one-step quantitative RT-PCR techniques were routinely used during the SARS outbreak (Peiris, J.S. et al (2008)). A report from the CDC indicated that real-time RT-PCR was more sensitive than conventional RT-PCR, potentially providing a useful technique for detecting virus in the early phases of the diseases, when virus titer was low (Emery, S.L. et al (2004)). ELISA detection of anti-nucleocapsid protein (NP) antibodies, which peak early in infection, was identified by Canadian investigators as a more reliable and specific method of diagnosing SARS (Suresh, M.R. et al (2008)).

Various diagnostic tests have been used in the detection of MERS-CoV infection, including serological assays, immunofluorescence assays, ELISA, protein microarray, micro-neutralization assays and Western blot—all of which have limitations (Banik, G.R. et al (2015))—as well as RT-PCR, which is most specific and sensitive (Skariyachan, S. et al (2019)). WHO recommends that screening RT-PCR target the upE gene, and that positive samples be retested targeting the ORF1a, ORF1b or N gene. Testing should use samples obtained from the lower respiratory tract, e.g., bronchoalveolar lavage or tracheal aspirate, where viral load is greatest (Banik, G.R. et al (2015); Zumla, A. et al (2015)). However as the procedure for collecting these specimens is invasive, upper respiratory specimens are sometimes used instead (Chan, J.F. et al (2015)).

Researchers at the University of Texas and NIH have developed asymmetric five-primer reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification (RT-LAMP) assays for the detection of MERS-CoV. The RT-LAMP assays are designed to amplify MERS-CoV genomic loci located within the ORF1a and ORF1b genes and the upE gene, and will enable the development of portable point-of-care diagnostics (Bhadra, S. et al (2015)).

In December 2019, a novel coronavirus, later identified as SARS-CoV-2, was first identified in samples taken from three patients with acute respiratory disease in Wuhan, China. The genetic sequence of SARS-CoV-2 was made available to the WHO on January 12, 2020, facilitating the production of specific diagnostic PCR tests to detect the novel coronavirus infection (Hui, D.S. et al (2020); Zhu, N. et al (2020)). The virus was first isolated from bronchoalveolar lavage fluid; however, viral RNA has also been detected in blood and stool samples (Wang, W. et al (2020)). With increased experience, the most commonly used diagnostic samples are those taken from the upper (nasopharyngeal) or lower (induced sputum, endotracheal aspirates, bronchoalveolar lavage) respiratory tract (Murthy, S. et al (2020)). The Beijing Center for Disease Prevention and Control and the University of Hong Kong (Chu, D.K.W. et al (2020)) as well as several Chinese biotech companies have developed nucleic acid test kits. Aiming to shorten the diagnosis time, Jiangsu Qitian Gene Technology together with the National Institute for Viral Disease Control and Prevention, developed test kits with an isothermal amplification instrument that automatically interprets the results in minutes, with both sensitivity and specificity values of 100%.

On February 5, 2020, the U.S. FDA issued an emergency use authorization that would allow emergency use of the CDC’s own 2019-nCoV Real-Time RT-PCR Diagnostic Panel (FDA takes significant step in coronavirus response efforts, issues emergency use authorization for the first 2019 novel coronavirus diagnostic (FDA news release, February 4, 2020)). The diagnostic is a RT-PCR test for the detection of SARS-CoV-2 from respiratory secretions (nasal or oral swabs), but was initially plagued with a high rate of inconclusive or invalid results (Sharfstein, J.M. et al (2020)). Novacyt has also launched a quantitative PCR assay, targeting the unique SARS-CoV-2 genome sequences without the need for cold chain shipping. In addition, Co-Diagnostics is using the Coprimer multiplexing technology to differentiate between similar genetic sequences, thereby reducing false positive diagnosis. Also, at Meridian Bioscience, the molecular diagnostic test (Meridian Lyo-Ready 1-Step RT-qPCR Mix) can be prepared and freeze-dried, making it highly stable and only requiring the addition of the patient sample to run the assay. In Europe, among others, Ares Genetics is collaborating with BGI Group to make real-time fluorescence PCR tests for the new coronavirus, producing results in several hours. On March 21, the FDA granted emergency use authorization of the first rapid, point-of-care
diagnostic for the U.S. market: Cepheid’s Xpert Xpress SARS-CoV-2. The test has been designed to operate on any of Cepheid’s more than 23,000 automated GeneXpert Systems worldwide, with a detection time of approximately 45 minutes.

Hong Kong researchers developed three different PCR assays targeting the SARS-CoV-2 RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp)/helicase (Hel), spike (S) and nucleocapsid (N) genes, and compared each of them with the RdRp-P2 assay used in many European laboratories. They found that the COVID-19-RdRp/Hel assay did not cross-react with other human-pathogenic coronaviruses and respiratory pathogens in cell culture and clinical specimens; in contrast, the RdRp-P2 assay did cross-react with SARS-CoV in cell culture (Chan, J.F. et al (2020)).

Patients testing positive for Covid-19 on PCR should undergo imaging studies in order to detect lung damage at the early stages. Non-contrast-enhanced chest computed tomography (CT) plays an important role at this stage of diagnosis, and enables the detection of bilateral, multifocal patchy ground glass opacities, which are characteristic chest CT imaging features of Covid-19 pneumonia (Xu, X. et al (2020); Li, Y. et al (2020)). Patients should also be tested for influenza and other viruses, as coinfection is associated with worse outcomes (Yang, Y. et al (2020)).

In the U.S., Mammoth Biosciences and Sherlock Biosciences are using CRISPR (clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats)-Cas12 to develop rapid point-of-care (POC) SARS-CoV-2 tests (Fast, portable tests come online to curb coronavirus pandemic (Nature Biotechnology News, March 23, 2020)). For this Sherlock Biosciences is collaborating with Cepheid who is providing the GeneXpert automated molecular diagnostic system. Mammoth scientists have created the SARS-CoV-2 DETECTR, a CRISPR-Cas12 based lateral flow assay that detects SARS-CoV-2 from extracted patient sample RNA and takes 30 minutes to perform. The assay involves simultaneous reverse transcription and isothermal amplification using loop-mediated amplification (RT-LAMP) from RNA extracted from nasopharyngeal or oropharyngeal swabs in universal transport media. This is followed by Cas12 detection of predefined coronavirus sequences and then cleavage of a reporter molecule confirms detection of the virus.

Quantitative RT-PCR based assays need expensive lab instrumentation and are usually conducted in public health laboratories. The SARS-CoV-2 DETECTR assays have comparable accuracy to qRT-PCR, employ isothermal signal amplification for rapid target detection without the need for thermocycling, show single nucleotide target specificity, are integrated with portable, low-cost lateral flow strips, and follow a quick development cycle.

**Differential Diagnosis**

Pneumonia of other viral or bacterial origin—especially Streptococcus pneumonia, Haemophilus influenzae, Moraxella catarrhalis, methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus and Legionella spp.—were included in the differential diagnosis of SARS. Other febrile viral diseases included in the differential diagnosis were seasonal and avian influenza, respiratory syncytial virus, varicella zoster virus, human metapneumovirus and hantavirus. When appropriate, other epidemic or population-wide diseases were taken into consideration, e.g. smallpox, tularemia, anthrax, viral hemorrhagic fever or plague (Cleri, D.J. et al (2010)).

In the case of Covid-19, the differential diagnosis includes most of the aforementioned infections as well as noninfectious diffuse pulmonary diseases, e.g., dermatomyositis or vasculitis. Travel history and contact tracing will help to inform the diagnosis (Tian, X.L. et al (2020); Yang, Y. et al (2020)).

**Prevention**

Without effective drugs or vaccines against the infectious agents (Li, G. et al (2020)), social distancing strategies such as isolation/social distancing, quarantine and community containment are the most effective means of controlling a coronavirus outbreak with epidemic potential.
(Wilder-Smith, A. et al (2020)). Although authorities may be reluctant to impose these measures due to their economic and social impact, the success of these strategies was demonstrated during the SARS outbreak in Singapore, where application of infection control measures resulted in a decrease in R0 (secondary infection rate) from 7 at week 1 to <1 after week 2 (Cieri, D.J. et al (2010)). In Taiwan, the application of Level A quarantine (that of potentially exposed contacts of suspected SARS patients) resulted in the prevention of approximately 461 additional cases and 62 additional deaths; the use of Level B quarantine (that of travelers arriving from affected areas), in contrast, reduced the number of new cases and deaths by only about 5% (Hsieh, Y.H. et al (2007)). Soon after the Covid-19 outbreak began to expand, Chinese authorities imposed restrictions on movement in and around Wuhan, the major air and train transportation hub of central mainland China. Transportation and activities throughout the country were subsequently limited (Wu, Z. et al (2020)). Based on assumptions of exponential growth of the outbreak (estimated R0 = 2.68), WHO-linked epidemiology experts recommend stringent controls in order to prevent independent, self-sustaining outbreaks in major cities around the world (Wu, J.T. et al (2020)). This is especially important given the increasingly clear role of asymptomactic individuals, including children, in spreading Covid-19.

On the personal level, hygiene measures are recommended to prevent the spread of disease in situations where individuals are in contact with patients or contaminated fomites (Chen, Y. et al (2020)). Washing hands with soap and water or with alcohol-based handrubs is effective for interrupting virus transmission. In general, coronaviruses are able to survive on metal, glass and plastic surfaces at room temperature for up to nine days, but can be inactivated by disinfection with ethanol (62-71%), hydrogen peroxide (0.5%) or sodium hypochlorite (0.1%) (Kampf, G. et al (2020)). The MERS virus is capable of surviving in the environment for up to 48 hours at 20ºC and for 24 hours at 30ºC (Chan, J.F. et al (2015)). The SARS-CoV-2 virus is stable and viable on surfaces made of plastic or stainless steel for up to 72 hours, on cardboard for up to 24 hours, and on copper for up to four hours. The virus is viable in aerosols for at least three hours (van Doremalen, N. et al (2020)). Personal protective equipment, including eye protection, is thus recommended for health care personnel, as well as surgical masks or N95 disposable filtering respirators (Huang, C. et al (2020)). Airborne precautions should be applied especially when performing aerosol-generating procedures such as intubation (Paules, C.I. et al (2020)). All potentially infectious specimens should be handled and transported with caution, and must be tested in laboratories meeting WHO BSL3 standards (Chan, J.F. et al (2015)).

As a result of the SARS outbreak, WHO revised the rules for reporting infectious diseases by its member states. The previous reporting requirements, formulated in 1951, required reporting for plague, cholera and yellow fever only, and the resulting delay in reporting cases early in the outbreak was likely to have contributed to its rapid spread (Wu, Z. et al (2020)). The efficient and collaborative international response to the MERS outbreak beginning in 2012, and again to the Covid-19 outbreak in late 2019, testifies to the improvements made (Chan, J.F. et al (2015); Paules, C.I. et al (2020)). In 2017, WHO placed SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV on its Priority Pathogen list, with the goal of galvanizing research and development into countermeasures against CoVs (Paules, C.I. et al (2020)).

As the three major coronavirus outbreaks have clearly demonstrated, increasing overlap between human and animal ecosystems provides greater opportunities for viruses to cross the species barrier. Prevention of future outbreaks of zoonotic disease requires improved coordination between experts in human and veterinary medicine as well as stricter laws governing the raising, transportation, slaughter and sale of wild animals (Wang, R. et al (2020); Yang, Y. et al (2020)).

Vaccines

The successful containment of coronavirus epidemics in farm animals by vaccines, including those based on either killed or attenuated virus, supports the initiation of vaccine development. However, it remains unknown whether coronavirus infections in humans produce a lasting immune response that could be replicated with a vaccine.
Human coronavirus vaccines under development include DNA and RNA vaccines, vector-based, live attenuated and protein subunit vaccines. DNA- and RNA-based platforms have the greatest potential for rapid development in an outbreak situation. They can be made quickly because they require no culture or fermentation, being made instead using synthetic processes (Schindewolf, C. et al (2019); Lurie, N. et al (2020)).

The S protein is currently considered to be one of the most promising targets for coronavirus vaccine development (Li, F. et al (2019); Song, Z. et al (2019)), and is being targeted for the development of both anti-MERS-CoV and anti-SARS-CoV-2 vaccines. Upon emergence of SARS-CoV-2, both the S and N proteins were identified as potential vaccine antigens, based on their previously demonstrated ability to induce potent and long-lived immune responses in SARS-CoV (Ahmed, S.F. et al (2020)).

Development a MERS vaccine has been facilitated by the recent development of small animal models that effectively replicate MERS-CoV transmission and symptomatic human disease (Schindewolf, C. et al (2019)). In contrast, vaccine research for Covid-19 has been hindered by the lack of a suitable animal model for testing. Transgenic mice expressing the human ACE2 receptor, first developed during the SARS outbreak, are again being bred for research purposes, but supplies are limited (Labs rush to study coronavirus in transgenic animals -- some are in short supply (Nature News, March 9, 2020)).

A potential risk of any antiviral vaccine, and one that also exists with coronavirus vaccines, is that of antibody-dependent enhancement (ADE). The phenomenon occurs when exposure to the virus upregulates the expression of both neutralizing and non-neutralizing antibodies, rendering the individual’s immune system more, rather than less, reactive to a secondary infection. It is believed to be caused by the vaccine inducing a type 2 helper T-cell (Th2) response. Rigorous testing in appropriate animal models is essential to determine the risk of ADE before widespread vaccination of the population (Lurie, N. et al (2020)).

The following tables presents an up-to-date overview of the development of potential coronavirus vaccines against Covid-19 and MERS.

### Experimental vaccines for prevention of Covid-19 in active preclinical and clinical development

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug name</th>
<th>Organizations</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Phase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CHAdOx1-nCoV19</td>
<td>University of Oxford</td>
<td>Human SARS-CoV-2 (Covid-19 coronavirus) vaccine consisting of replication-deficient simian adenovirus vector ChAdOx1 encoding SARS-CoV-2 spike (S) gene</td>
<td>Phase I/II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV-SMENP-DC</td>
<td>Shenzhen Genoimmune Medical Institute</td>
<td>Human SARS-CoV-2 (Covid-19 coronavirus) vaccine consisting of dendritic cells modified with lentiviral vectors (NHP/TFY) expressing a SARS-CoV-2 SMENP minigene encoding multiple viral genes (spike (S), membrane (M), envelope (E), nucleocapsid (N) and protease (P)) and immune-stimulating regulatory genes (CNX, GM-CSF and IL-15); administered together with SARS-CoV-2 antigens - specific peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) - derived cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs)</td>
<td>Phase I/II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaccine Code</td>
<td>Company/Institution</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Phase/Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ad5-nCoV</td>
<td>CanSino Biologics</td>
<td>Human SARS-CoV-2 (Covid-19 coronavirus) vaccine consisting of a replication-defective adenovirus type 5 vector encoding SARS-CoV-2 spike (S) gene</td>
<td>Phase I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INO-4800</td>
<td>Inovio Pharmaceuticals</td>
<td>Human SARS-CoV-2 (Covid-19 coronavirus) DNA vaccine</td>
<td>Phase I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mRNA-1273</td>
<td>National Institutes of Health (NIH); Moderna</td>
<td>Human SARS-CoV-2 (Covid-19 coronavirus) mRNA vaccine</td>
<td>Phase I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1084319</td>
<td>Shenzhen Genoimmune Medical Institute</td>
<td>Human SARS-CoV-2 (Covid-19 coronavirus) vaccine consisting of artificial antigen presenting cells (aAPC) carrying SARS-CoV-2 antigens</td>
<td>Phase I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CORVax12</td>
<td>OncoSec Medical</td>
<td>Composition comprising human SARS-CoV-2 (Covid-19 coronavirus) DNA vaccine and a plasmid encoding human interleukin-12 (IL-12) (TAVO(TM))</td>
<td>IND Filed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ad26 SARS-CoV-2</td>
<td>Johnson &amp; Johnson</td>
<td>Human SARS-CoV-2 (Covid-19 coronavirus) vaccine consisting of replication-incompetent adenovirus vector serotype 26 (Ad26) encoding SARS-CoV-2 proteins; produced based on AdVac(R) technology and expressed in human PER.C6® cell line</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUNAR-COV19</td>
<td>Duke University; Arcturus Therapeutics</td>
<td>Human SARS-CoV-2 (Covid-19 coronavirus) mRNA vaccine comprising a self-replicating RNA based on STARR technology platform delivered using Lipid-enabled and Unlocked Nucleonomomer Agent modified RNA (LUNAR(TM)) platform</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PittCoVacc</td>
<td>University of Pittsburgh</td>
<td>Human SARS-CoV-2 (Covid-19 coronavirus) vaccine consisting of microneedle (MNA) patch comprising recombinant spike glycoprotein (S) of SARS-CoV-2 expressed in 293HEK cells</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Company</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Stage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNX-1800</td>
<td>Tonix Pharmaceuticals</td>
<td>Human SARS-CoV-2 (Covid-19 coronavirus) vaccine comprising an engineered, live replicating strain of horsepox virus (HPXV) encoding a protein of COVID-19 virus</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZIP-1642</td>
<td>Ziphius Therapeutics</td>
<td>Human SARS-CoV-2 (Covid-19 coronavirus) mRNA vaccine encoding different Covid-19 antigens, including Spike (S) protein</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1082107</td>
<td>Novavax</td>
<td>Human SARS-CoV-2 (Covid-19 coronavirus) vaccine comprising recombinant COVID-19 spike glycoprotein; encapsulated in nanoparticles</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1080103</td>
<td>CureVac</td>
<td>Human SARS-CoV-2 (Covid-19 coronavirus) mRNA vaccine</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1086184</td>
<td>Osaka University; AnGes</td>
<td>Human SARS-CoV-2 (Covid-19 coronavirus) plasmid DNA vaccine</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1086209</td>
<td>Kentucky BioProcessing (KBP)</td>
<td>Human SARS-CoV-2 (Covid-19 coronavirus) vaccine; expressed in tobacco plant cells</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1083739</td>
<td>Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma</td>
<td>Human SARS-CoV-2 (Covid-19 coronavirus) virus-like particle (VLP) vaccine</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Experimental vaccines for prevention of MERS-CoV in active preclinical and clinical development

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug name</th>
<th>Organizations</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Phase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BVRS-GamVac-Combi</td>
<td>Ministry Healthcare Russian Federation</td>
<td>Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) vaccine comprising a combined heterologous adenoviral vector</td>
<td>Phase I/II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLS-S300</td>
<td>Inovio Pharmaceuticals; GeneOne Life Science</td>
<td>Middle East Respiratory Syndrome DNA vaccine using the SynCon (TM) technology, encoding MERS spike protein</td>
<td>Phase I/II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChAdOx1 MERS</td>
<td>Vaccitech Ltd.; University of Oxford</td>
<td>Middle East respiratory syndrome recombinant (MERS) vaccine consisting of replication-deficient simian adenovirus vector ChAdOx1 carrying full-length spike gene of MERS-CoV camel isolate; under the control of human cytomegalovirus major immediate early promoter (IE CMV)</td>
<td>Phase I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVA-MERS-S</td>
<td>Ludwig-Maximilians-Univ. Muenchen</td>
<td>Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) vaccine comprising modified vaccinia virus encoding full-length S protein of MERS-CoV, under the control of early/late promoter PrmH5</td>
<td>Phase I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GREVAX/MERS</td>
<td>Greffex</td>
<td>Recombinant adenoviral vector developed using GREVAX Universal Platform (GREVAX vector) encoding Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) antigens</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVA-MERS-S DF1</td>
<td>Universitaetsklinikum Hamburg-Eppendorf</td>
<td>Middle east respiratory syndrome (MERS) vaccine consisting of a modified vaccinia ankara (MVA) virus encoding MERS-CoV spike (S) protein antigens</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Treatment

There is no approved drug therapy for SARS, MERS, Covid-19 or any other coronavirus infection at this time (Li, G. et al (2020)). Early diagnosis, isolation and supportive care are the mainstay of treatment for patients with severe disease (Murthy, S. et al (2020); Yang, Y. et al (2020)). Treatment approaches, all of which are investigational, are targeted either at the virus itself or the host response.

When it emerged in 2003, SARS was an unknown disease and treatment was empirical. Initial efforts to treat the disease with broad-spectrum antibiotics from human immune serum globulins were unsuccessful. Some nonspecific immunosuppressive treatments or broad-spectrum antiviral agents, such as ribavirin, were of limited success (Zumla, A. et al (2016)). Combination therapy with ribavirin and corticosteroids was frequently administered as first-line treatment for SARS, based on promising results observed in some of the earliest patients treated, although data obtained subsequently failed to confirm ribavirin’s anticipated anti-SARS-CoV activity in vitro (Cleri, D.J. et al (2010)). Some physicians preferred to delay administration of corticosteroids until the second week of infection in order to reduce side effects. The HIV protease inhibitor Kaletra (lopinavir/ritonavir), which inhibits the major CoV protease 3CLpro, was the most effective treatment for SARS (Zumla, A. et al (2016)). Twenty-one day ARDS and death rates were lowest in subjects treated with a combination of ribavirin, lopinavir/ritonavir and a corticosteroid (Pillaiyar, T. et al (2020)).

At the outset of the MERS-CoV outbreak, NIH researchers screened a panel of 290 approved and investigational drugs with defined cellular targets in order to determine the potential for repurposing any of them to treat SARS and/or MERS. They found that 33 compounds were active against MERS-CoV, 6 against SARS-CoV and 27 against both coronaviruses. The active drugs were grouped into 13 therapeutic classes and included antibacterial and antiparasitic agents, neurotransmitter inhibitors, estrogen receptor antagonists, kinase signaling inhibitors, inhibitors of lipid or sterol metabolism, protein-processing inhibitors, and inhibitors of DNA synthesis/repair (Dyall, J. et al (2014)). In another repurposing study, Dutch investigators screened a library of 348 FDA-approved drugs for anti-MERS-CoV activity in cell culture and found four (chloroquine, chlorpromazine, loperamide, and lopinavir) that were capable of inhibiting MERS-CoV replication at low micromolar concentrations, and further evaluation of these compounds was recommended. In MERS-CoV-infected patients, administration of drugs such as these—even if not 100% effective in blocking viral replication—could provide a window of opportunity during which the patient’s immune system might begin to respond to the infection (de Wilde, A.H. et al (2014)). A systematic review of drugs evaluated in preclinical and clinical studies against MERS-CoV found that the combination of lopinavir/ritonavir and interferon-beta-1b gave excellent results in common marmosets, and has progressed to testing in a randomized control trial setting. Ribavirin and interferon were the most widely used combination in observational studies, and may warrant further investigation (Momattin, H. et al (2019)).

In early 2020, as the number of people affected by the Covid-19 outbreak steadily multiplied and with a lack of virus-specific therapies, scientists began to investigate various host-directed therapies with demonstrated safety that could be repurposed to treat the most seriously ill patients. Candidate drugs included metformin, glitazones, fibrates, sartans and atorvastin for boosting the immune response; zinc and other metal-containing supplements with antiviral activity; cyclosporine, lopinavir/ritonavir, interferon beta-1b, ribavirin and remdesivir, also for their antiviral activity; various cellular therapies; and anti-IL-6 monoclonal antibodies (MAbs) such as tocilizumab (Zumla, A. et al (2020)). Other host-directed therapeutic options that could be repurposed, based on previous lines of investigation into SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV, include JAK-STAT kinase inhibitors, which have potent antinflammatory effects (Stebbing, J. et al (2020)), nutritional interventions (vitamins A, C, D and E, B vitamins, omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids, selenium, zinc and iron), immuno-enhancing agents (interferons, IVIG, thymosin alpha-1, thymopentin, levamisole and ciclosporin), convalescent plasma and traditional Chinese medicine. Suggested virus-directed approaches include the antimalarial agent chloroquine;
flavonoids, for their antiviral and antioxidant activity; the virucidal anthraquinone emodin and the antipsychotic agent chlorpromazine, both of which block the interaction of the viral S glycoprotein with the ACE2 binding receptor; and MAbs directed against S glycoprotein (Zhang, L. et al (2020); Pillaiyar, T. et al (2020)).

In March, WHO announced the initiation of the SOLIDARITY trial, a large international study designed to evaluate various treatment approaches. The study will enroll patients in Argentina, Bahrain, Canada, France, Iran, Norway, South Africa, Spain, Switzerland and Thailand and will test four different drugs or combinations: remdesivir, lopinavir/ritonavir (Kaletra), Kaletra plus interferon-beta, and chloroquine. Also in March, SOLIDARITY’s European counterpart, DISCOVERY, was launched in various European countries (Belgium, France, Germany, Luxembourg, the Netherlands, Spain, Sweden and the U.K.). This study will enroll 3,200 patients who will be treated with remdesivir, Kaletra with or without IFN-beta, or hydroxychloroquine. Both SOLIDARITY and DISCOVERY are adaptive trials, meaning that ineffective experimental treatments can very quickly be dropped and replaced by other molecules that emerge from research efforts. Both studies will compare the active treatments to standard of care.

**Broad-Spectrum Antiviral Agents**

The aminoquinoline antimalarial agents chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine have a broad antiviral spectrum, with activity against DNA as well as RNA viruses—including anti-SARS-CoV-2—in vitro. They also exert antiinflammatory and immunomodulatory effects. Chloroquine has a variety of effects, although it is still unknown which (if any) of these are relevant to Covid-19. It acts by increasing endosomal pH required for fusion of a virus with the host cell, as well as by interfering with glycosylation of virus cell surface receptors. Chloroquine may also interfere with posttranslational modification of viral proteins, interrupting the process of viral replication and reducing infectivity (Colson, P. et al (2020); Devaux, C.A. et al (2020)). Investigational use of chloroquine in Chinese patients with Covid-19 led to more rapid declines in fever and improvements in lung CT images, and was associated with a shorter recovery time as compared with control groups. Based on this promising profile, low cost, favorable safety profile and easy availability of the drug, approximately a dozen clinical trials were initiated to evaluate chloroquine for the treatment of Covid-19 pneumonia (Gao, J. et al (2020)). One small, open-label, nonrandomized French trial reported significant reduction of viral load in patients treated with hydroxychloroquine plus azithromycin (Gautret, P. et al (2020)); however, the poor methodology of the study has been criticized. Others have expressed concern that chloroquine/hydroxychloroquine may adversely affect the host adaptive immune response to the virus by down-regulating IL-2 and the favorable antiinflammatory Th2 response. This paradoxical effect was observed in patients administered the drugs for chikungunya (Guastalegname, M. et al (2020)). The American College of Cardiology notes that chloroquine, hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin all prolong QT interval, raising concerns about the risk of arrhythmic death from individual or concurrent use of these medications, and recommends close clinical monitoring.


During the peak of the outbreak in that country, Chinese experts issued a consensus statement regarding the use and appropriate dosing of chloroquine (Unknown Author (2020)). In late March, in response to a request from the Biomedical Advanced Research and Development Authority (BARDA), the U.S. FDA issued an Emergency Use Authorization to allow hydroxychloroquine sulfate and chloroquine phosphate products donated to the Strategic National Stockpile. The drugs will be distributed and used for hospitalized adult and adolescent patients with Covid-19, as appropriate, when a clinical trial is not available or feasible.
Defensins, including alpha- and beta-defensins, are constitutively or inducibly expressed by humans and other organisms to protect against invading microorganisms (Park, M.S. et al (2018)). Defensin-mimetic therapeutics are a novel class of antimicrobial peptide (AMPs) mimetics, also termed host defense protein (HDPs) mimetics, that are more stable and potent than natural defensins. These compounds show antibacterial, antiviral, antifungal, antiinflammatory and anticancer activities through their effects on the innate and adaptive human immune system. Defensin mimetics may be useful in the treatment of coronavirus infections, including Covid-19.

Ribavirin is a ribonucleoside analogue that is active against some coronaviruses, as well as respiratory syncytial virus and metapneumoviruses. Because of its relatively broad spectrum of antiviral activity, ribavirin was one of the first compounds tested for its clinical efficacy against SARS. Early therapy with ribavirin, particularly when combined with corticosteroids, was associated with variable outcomes in SARS patients (Cleri, D.J. et al (2010)). Ribavirin has also been tested in the rhesus macaque model of MERS-CoV, which is a model of mild to moderate human disease. The results obtained—IFN-α2b plus ribavirin reduced virus replication, moderated the host response and improved clinical outcome—support use of the combination to treat patients with MERS (Falzarano, D. et al (2013)). However, in an observational study of 349 critically ill MERS patients, of whom 144 received ribavirin/rIFN (ribavirin and/or rIFN-alfa2a, rIFN-alfa2b or rIFN-beta1a), the treatment was not associated with any reduction in 90-day mortality or in faster MERS-CoV RNA clearance (Arabi, Y.M. et al (2019)). Adverse events, including dose-dependent anemia, are a significant concern with ribavirin, and are cited as one factor against its use in patients with Covid-19 (Li, G. et al (2020)).

Viral Enzyme Inhibitors

The process of coronavirus replication is well understood. Several unique steps have been identified as potential targets for antiviral drugs. Viral fusion with the host cell could potentially be blocked by entry inhibitors or membrane fusion inhibitors, similar to antivirals used for HIV infection. Viral protease inhibitors may block cleavage of the polymerase protein to inhibit viral RNA synthesis. Nucleoside inhibitors might specifically inhibit viral replication without causing damage to the host cell. Targeted inhibitors of the serine proteases, which are required to
activate the viral infectivity of some coronaviruses, may block the later stages of the viral life cycle (Kilianski, A. et al (2014); Zhou, Y. et al (2015)). The main protease (Mpro, also called 3CLpro) is one of the best characterized drug targets for coronaviruses. Mpro, together with the papain-like proteases, is required for processing polyproteins that are translated from the viral RNA. It has been identified as a promising target for anti-SARS-CoV-2 compounds (Zhang, L. et al (2020)).

The HIV protease inhibitor combination lopinavir/ritonavir has progressed furthest in development for treatment of MERS-CoV. Following successful preclinical evaluation of lopinavir/ritonavir plus interferon-beta1b, in which significant reductions in mortality were obtained in a marmoset model, clinical evaluation of the combination was recommended (Chan, J.F. et al (2015)). The ongoing MIRACLE trial is evaluating the efficacy and safety of lopinavir/ritonavir plus recombinant interferon-beta1b compared to placebo--both given in combination with optimal supportive care--in patients with laboratory-confirmed MERS-CoV infection requiring hospital admission (Arabi, Y.M. et al (2018)).

Since the combination of lopinavir and ritonavir was already available in the Wuhan, China hospital where early SARS-CoV-2-infected patients were treated, a trial was quickly initiated to assess the efficacy and safety of the combination to treat Covid-19 (Huang, C. et al (2020)). The randomized, controlled, open-label trial, designated LOTUS China, enrolled 199 patients who were SARS-CoV-2-positive on RT-PCR, had confirmed pneumonia on chest imaging and had oxygen saturation (Sao2) of less than or equal to 94% while breathing ambient air or Pao2:Fio2 ratio of less than or equal to 300 mgHg. Eligible patients were randomized to receive either lopinavir/ritonavir (400 mg/100 mg p.o.) twice daily in combination with standard care, or standard care alone, for 14 days; standard care included supplemental oxygen, noninvasive and invasive ventilation, antibiotic agents, vasopressor support, renal-replacement therapy and extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO), as required. The results showed no difference overall in time to clinical improvement or mortality between the lopinavir/ritonavir and standard care groups. In the intention-to-treat population, however, initiation of lopinavir/ritonavir therapy within 12 days after the onset of symptoms was associated with shorter time to clinical improvement, whereas initiation of treatment after this point was not. Twenty-eight-day mortality rates were lower in the active treatment vs. standard care groups (19.2% vs. 25.0%), and ICU stay was shorter (6 days vs. 11 days). The percentage of patients with clinical improvement at day 14 was also higher in the lopinavir/ritonavir group versus standard care (45.5% vs. 30.0%). Addition of lopinavir/ritonavir did not result in decreased viral RNA load in throat or duration of viral RNA detectability as compared with standard care alone. Of note, the overall mortality rate (22.1%) was substantially higher than that reported in initial descriptive studies (11% to 14.5%), indicating a high overall degree of severity in the study population (Cao, B. et al (2020)).

In March 2020, a clinical trial was initiated in Spain to evaluate a novel multidrug approach for reducing the duration of infection and transmission of the SARS-CoV-2 virus. A total of around 200 people testing positive for SARS-CoV-2 will receive the anti-HIV drug combination darunavir/cobicistat to try to reduce the number of days they are contagious. In addition, about 3,000 of their direct contacts will receive hydroxychloroquine to see if it prevents infection. It is estimated that people with the virus are contagious for 14 days after first showing symptoms and that they can infect 5-15% of their contacts. The study will determine whether this regimen is able to reduce these numbers.

The RNA-directed RNA polymerase inhibitor remdesivir showed broad-spectrum antiviral activity against coronaviruses in vitro and in vivo, inhibiting the replication of both endemic and zoonotic strains in cell culture. In a relevant murine model of SARS-CoV infection, prophylactic administration of remdesivir prevented development of symptomatic disease; postexposure administration was also effective in mitigating the immunopathological phase of disease, improving respiratory function and reducing viral load (Sheahan, T.P. et al (2017)). In 2020, based on these and other studies suggesting its anti-CoV activity (Sheahan, T.P. et al (2020); Wang, M. et al (2020)) and at the request of treating physicians, remdesivir was supplied by the manufacturer for experimental use in China, to treat hospitalized adult patients with Covid-19 illness. In January, in its R&D Blueprint report, WHO said it considered remdesivir to be the most promising candidate for treatment of Covid-19, based on its broad antiviral spectrum, available in vitro and in vivo data, and the extensive clinical safety database (WHO R&D blueprint report -).
Informal consultation on prioritization of candidate therapeutic agents for use in novel coronavirus 2019 infection (World Health Organization, January 24, 2020). In late February, the U.S. NIH announced that a randomized, controlled clinical trial to evaluate the safety and efficacy of remdesivir in hospitalized adults diagnosed with Covid-19 has begun at the University of Nebraska Medical Center in Omaha.

Favipiravir, a nucleoside analogue that is approved for use in treating influenza A and B, is being evaluated as a potential broad-spectrum antiviral for use in the Covid-19 outbreak. Like remdesivir, favipiravir inhibits RNA-directed RNA polymerase of various RNA viruses; in addition to influenza, it has been found to inhibit the replication of yellow fever virus, Ebola virus, norovirus and chikungunya virus (Li, G. et al (2020)). Although favipiravir was not highly active against SARS-CoV-2 in vitro (Wang, M. et al (2020)), clinical results in China were reportedly positive. In one trial, testing favipiravir with interferon in Shenzhen, results showed that patients treated with the combination had significantly reduced the duration of symptoms, as measured by viral load chest imaging, vs. a control group. In another study, clinical recovery rates were higher for Covid-19 patients treated with favipiravir vs. those in a control group. On March 17, the head of the China National Center for Biotechnology Development said in a press conference that based on this “obvious efficacy”, favipiravir has been recommended to medical treatment teams and should be included in treatment plans for Covid-19 as soon as possible.

Elements of the viral replication process have also been identified as potential therapeutic targets, including viral helicase, features of which are highly conserved among different coronaviruses (Adedeji, A.O. et al (2014)). Other potential antiviral drug targets include virus entry, assembly and exocytosis, which enables the release of virus from host cells. Despite a good understanding of viral targets and the identification of potential antiviral agents in vitro and in animal models, however, these findings have not translated into efficacy in humans (Zumla, A. et al (2016); Chen, Y. et al (2020)).

Monoclonal Antibodies

Monoclonal antibodies (MAbs), including MAbs directed at neutralizing the virus or those designed to modulate the host response, often represent the first line of investigation and
defense against emerging diseases. Murine, chimeric and fully human monoclonal antibodies have been tested; the latter are preferred due to their reduced immunogenicity (Jin, Y. et al (2017); Shanmugaraj, B. et al (2020)).

Various MAbs were evaluated during the SARS outbreak. Most of these were directed at the S1 fragment of the spike protein, with the aim of blocking its interaction with the cellular binding receptor ACE2 (Shanmugaraj, B. et al (2020)). Neutralization of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus has been attempted using a related strategy targeting the receptor (CD26/DPP4) binding domain of the MERS-CoV spike glycoprotein. One such MAb designated m336 neutralized the virus with exceptional potency, and was reported to have great potential as a candidate therapeutic or as a reagent to facilitate the development of MERS-CoV vaccines (Ying, T. et al (2014)). Japanese researchers also investigated anti-CD26 MAb for MERS-CoV and have identified the humanized MAb YS110 as a promising candidate, with the advantage that this agent has already undergone clinical testing for other indications (Ohnuma, K. et al (2013)).

The anti-CCR-5 MAb leronlimab (PRO-140) is being evaluated as a potential treatment for patients infected with SARS-CoV-2. Leronlimab has the potential to enhance the cellular immune response by suppressing Treg cells that, in turn, inhibit the antiviral T-cell responses and the potential to repolarize macrophage activity. Leronlimab has shown no drug-related serious adverse events in 9 clinical trials involving more than 800 patients, and has been previously used in combination with protease inhibitors used in HIV therapy, which could be potentially used to treat Covid-19. Preliminary results from the first 10 patients treated in the study suggested significant improvements in several important immunologic biomarkers in 8 of the 10 severely ill patients, with improvements in cytokines and IL-6, and a trend toward normalization of the CD4/CD8 ratio.

Another clinical program is evaluating the anti-IL-6 antibody sarilumab in U.S. patients hospitalized with severe Covid-19 infection. The trial will assess the safety and efficacy of adding sarilumab to usual supportive care, compared to supportive care plus placebo. This randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase II/III trial uses an adaptive design to evaluate the MAbs in adults hospitalized with laboratory-confirmed Covid-19 that is classified as severe or critical, or who are suffering from multorgan dysfunction. All patients must have pneumonia and fever. In the phase II part of the trial, patients will be randomized 2:2:1 into three groups: sarilumab high dose, sarilumab low dose and placebo. The primary endpoint is reduction of fever and the secondary endpoint is decreased need for supplemental oxygen. The phase II findings will be utilized in an adaptive manner to determine transition into phase III, helping to determine the endpoints, patient numbers and doses. The second, larger part of the trial will evaluate the improvement in longer-term outcomes, including preventing death and reducing the need for mechanical ventilation, supplemental oxygen and/or hospitalization. If the trial continues with all three treatment arms to the end, it is expected to enroll approximately 400 patients, depending on the status of the Covid-19 outbreak and the proportion of patients with severe disease and high levels of IL-6. Sarilumab is a fully human MAb that inhibits the IL-6 pathway by binding and blocking the IL-6 receptor. The proinflammatory cytokine IL-6 may play a role in driving the overactive inflammatory response in the lungs of patients who are severely or critically ill with Covid-19. The potential of IL-6 as a therapeutic target is supported by preliminary data from a single-arm study in China using another IL-6 receptor antibody, tocilizumab.

In the U.S., the FDA has approved a phase III trial in collaboration with the Biomedical Advanced Research and Development Authority evaluating intravenous tocilizumab plus standard of care in hospitalized adult patients with severe Covid-19 pneumonia. The randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase III study (COVACTA), which will begin enrolling patients in early April, will evaluate the safety and efficacy of tocilizumab added to standard of care compared to placebo plus standard of care. The trial’s primary and secondary endpoints include clinical status, mortality, mechanical ventilation and intensive care unit variables. Patients will be followed for 60 days after randomization, and an interim analysis will be conducted to look for early evidence of efficacy.
Immunomodulators

During the SARS epidemic, the Chinese government granted approval for use of immune system enhancers such as SciClone’s Zadaxin (thymosin alpha 1), an immune system enhancer that is marketed in mainland China for hepatitis B, to treat patients with SARS. Zadaxin works by stimulating the production of white blood cells, enhancing the body’s ability to fight off infections (Goldstein, A.L. et al (2009)). Because ribavirin decreases the release of proinflammatory cytokines in mice infected with the mouse hepatitis coronavirus, it may also act as an immunomodulator (Peiris, J.S. et al (2003)). In vitro studies indicate that ribavirin concentrations that inhibit other viruses are not sufficient to inhibit the replication of the SARS-CoV, and thus that some of its benefits may be due to its immunomodulatory activity (Normile, D. (2003); Mazzulli, T. et al (2004)).

Other treatment options with immunomodulating properties were also used during the SARS epidemic, including passive antibody therapy (i.v. immunoglobulins and convalescent plasma) (Mair-Jenkins, J. et al (2015); Roback, J.D. et al (2020)). The principal mechanism of action of convalescent plasma—i.e., blood plasma obtained from patients who have overcome a specific infection—is expected to be viral neutralization, although other mechanisms may also be involved, such as antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity and/or phagocytosis (Casadevall, A. et al (2020)).

During the MERS-CoV outbreak in 2015, some Korean patients were treated with convalescent plasma. A systematic review and meta-analysis of healthcare databases and so-called grey literature describing the use of convalescent plasma, serum or hyperimmune immunoglobulin derived from convalescent plasma to treat severe acute respiratory infections of viral origin has concluded that this approach is safe and may decrease the risk of mortality (Mair-Jenkins, J. et al (2015)). However, Saudi Arabian scientists reported that clinical trials evaluating this therapy would be challenging due to the limited availability of suitable donors, i.e., individuals with sufficiently high neutralizing antibody titers (Arabi, Y. et al (2016)).

Convalescent plasma was used to treat some patients in China with Covid-19, although not in the setting of controlled clinical trials (Roback, J.D. et al (2020)). The potential of the treatment to improve clinical outcomes in patients with laboratory-confirmed Covid-19 and acute respiratory distress syndrome was evaluated in 5 critically ill patients who had severe pneumonia with rapid progression and continuously high viral load despite antiviral treatment and who were receiving mechanical ventilation. The treatment consisted of convalescent plasma with a SARS-CoV-2-specific antibody (IgG) binding titer greater than 1:1000 and a neutralization titer greater than 40 that had been obtained from 5 patients who recovered from COVID-19; it was administered 10-22 days after admission. Following the transfusion, body temperature normalized within 3 days in 4 of 5 subjects, the Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) score decreased from 2-20 before to 1-4 after and Pao2/Fio2 improved in 4 of 5 patients, increasing within 12 days from 172-276 to 284-366. Viral loads became negative within 12 days and SARS-CoV-2-specific ELISA and neutralizing antibody titers increased from 40-60 before to 80-320 on day 7. ARDS resolved in 4 patients at 12 days after transfusion and 3 patients were weaned from mechanical ventilation within 2 weeks. Three of 5 patients had been discharged from the hospital at the time of reporting and 2 were in stable condition 37 days after transfusion. Though the effects of treatment may have been influenced by the fact that other treatments such as antivirals were also given, further study in a larger number of patients appeared warranted, and perhaps different timings of administration after admission to hospital (Shen, C. et al (2020)). As stated above, this treatment is thought to work by suppressing viremia, which typically peaks in the first 10-14 days of illness; thus, convalescent plasma should be administered in the early stages of disease, or in the setting of prophylaxis, in order to be most effective (Chen, L. et al (2020); Casadevall, A. et al (2020)). In March 2020, the U.S. FDA issued a notice stating that investigators wishing to study the compassionate use of convalescent plasma to treat patients with Covid-19 are encouraged to submit requests to FDA for investigational use under the traditional IND regulatory pathway (Investigational COVID-19 convalescent plasma - Emergency INDs (Food and Drug Administration, March 25, 2020)).
An alternative to convalescent plasma, which contains both IgG and IgM but varies in antibody specificity and titer depending upon donor characteristics, is hyperimmune globulin (H-Ig), which contains standardized antibody doses but is devoid of IgM due to fractionation (Roback, J.D. et al (2020)). In March 2020, Takeda announced that it was initiating the development of a highly purified anti-SARS-CoV-2 polyclonal H-Ig designated TAK-888 to treat high-risk individuals with COVID-19. Takeda is in discussions with national health and regulatory agencies and healthcare partners in the U.S., Asia and Europe to rapidly advance research into TAK-888. This requires access to source plasma from people who have successfully recovered from COVID-19 or who have been vaccinated, once a vaccine is developed.

Recombinant Proteins

Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) receptors are highly expressed on pulmonary cells, primarily in type II alveolar epithelial cells. Type II alveolar cells produce pulmonary surfactant, which maintains the stability of pulmonary tissue by reducing the surface tension of fluids that coat the lung. However, ACE2 also serves as the entry receptor for some coronaviruses, including SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2. The spike (S) protein of SARS engages ACE2 as the entry receptor and then uses the host serine protease TMPRSS2 for S priming. This enables fusion of viral and cellular membranes and viral entry into the cell. The resulting injury to type II alveolar cells may help to explain the severe lung injury observed in Covid-19 patients. Administration of human recombinant soluble ACE2 has been explored as a method of preventing viral attachment to pulmonary cells, i.e., as a neutralizing agent. This approach has been tested successfully in vitro and in human capillary and human kidney organoids. It should be noted that viral inhibition in these models, albeit dose-dependent, was not complete, suggesting that in addition to ACE2, SARS-CoV-2 may also use some other co-receptor. Alternatively, there may be other as-yet-unknown factors that mediate infection of ACE2-expressing cells in the upper respiratory tract (Monteil, V. et al (2020)).

In late February, a pilot investigator-initiated clinical trial evaluating recombinant human ACE2 (rhACE2), APN-01, was launched to treat patients with severe Covid-19 infection in the People’s Republic of China. A total of 24 patients were to be treated for 7 days in the randomized, unblinded study, with the aim of obtaining preliminary data on the impact of rhACE2 on biological, physiologic, and clinical outcomes, as well as safety in patients with severe SARS-CoV-2 infection. These data will be assessed to determine whether a phase IIb clinical trial in a larger number of patients is warranted.

Inhibitors of Host Proteases

A number of host proteases have been shown to proteolytically process the S protein, which determines coronaviral entry into the host cell. These include cathepsin, furin and trypsin (Millet, J.K. et al (2015); Kilianski, A. et al (2014)), and—most notably—type II transmembrane serine protease (TMPRSS2).

Following attachment of the SARS-CoV-2 S protein to the ACE2 receptor on the host cell, the spike protein is cleaved by TMPRSS2, allowing the virus to fuse with the cell and begin replicating within. TMPRSS2 has been identified as a promising anti-Covid-19 drug target, with the advantage that drugs acting on this target are already approved for marketing. The TMPRSS2 inhibitor camostat mesilate is marketed in Japan for the treatment of pancreatitis, and has been identified as a suitable candidate for repurposing in the treatment of Covid-19 (Hoffmann, M. et al (2020)). In April, Danish investigators began enrolling patients with PCR-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 in a placebo-controlled study (NCT04321096) that will attempt to determine whether camostat mesilate is able to act on the lung cells targeted by the virus and prevent it from infecting them.
Interferons

The host immune response, including the innate interferon response, is crucial for controlling viral replication. Coronaviruses suppress this response in order to evade the immune system. However, they may be responsive to treatment with interferons, particularly recombinant forms (Zumla, A. et al (2016)). The antiviral activity of interferon-beta, interferon-alfa and interferon-gamma was evaluated in SARS-CoV strains isolated from patients in Frankfurt and Hong Kong and replicated in Vero and Caco-2 cell lines (Hensley, L.E. et al (2004)). IFN-beta showed good antiviral activity, inhibiting SARS-CoV replication in both cell lines. IFN-alfa was also active, but with a sensitivity index 50-90 times lower than that for IFN-beta. IFN-gamma was slightly more active than IFN-alfa in one cell line but was completely inactive in the other (Cinatl, J. et al (2003)). In vitro, MERS-CoV has been shown to be 50-100 times more susceptible than SARS-CoV to treatment with IFN-alfa (Abdel-Moneim, A.S. (2014)). In early 2020, a controlled trial was launched in China to test the efficacy of lopinavir/ritonavir in combination with IFN-alfa-2b in hospitalized patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Corticosteroids

Corticosteroids were widely used during the SARS epidemic, although there was little consensus at the time regarding optimal treatment regimens. A review published some years later by Chinese researchers concluded that corticosteroid therapy had a positive impact on oxygenation index (OI), used as a measure of efficacy. Among the 225 SARS patients treated at a single Chinese center in 2003, the use of corticosteroids increased OI from an average of 237 mmHg at baseline to 335 mmHg after steroid administration. The optimum dose was determined to be 1-3 mg/kg (or 160-240 mg/day) for a total accumulated dose of 1000-2000 mg. The optimum duration of treatment was 8-14 days (Jia, W.D. et al (2009)).

Data obtained in a Hong Kong hospital support use of pulsed methylprednisolone as rescue therapy only during the later stages of SARS; administration during the earlier phases of disease appeared to actually prolong viremia (Hui, D.S. et al (2010)). In fact, later analysis showed that prolonged methylprednisolone use was associated with worse outcomes, including disseminated fungal infection and avascular osteonecrosis, and increased 30-day mortality (Pillaiyar, T. et al (2020)); as such corticosteroids should be used only with caution in the treatment of patients with MERS (Zumla, A. et al (2015)).

Based on previous experience with SARS and MERS, routine use of corticosteroids was not recommended in patients in Wuhan with Covid-19 (Huang, C. et al (2020); Lai, C.C. et al (2020)), although this guidance is still evolving. Precise use of corticosteroids, adhering strictly to the most recent treatment guidelines, may be warranted to treat appropriately selected patients with novel coronavirus pneumonia in the phase of ARDS, when they may inhibit the cytokine storm and prevent multiorgan damage and septic shock (Zhou, W. et al (2020)).
Current Coronavirus Pipeline

Consult the tables below for an overview of all products mentioned in this review, including drugs, biologics and diagnostic agents that have been marketed or are under active development for this indication. Tables may also include drugs not covered in the preceding sections because their mechanism of action is unknown or not well characterized.

Drugs and biologics in development for the treatment and prevention of coronavirus infections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Mechanism</th>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Structure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ASC-09/ritonavir</td>
<td>Ascleit</td>
<td>HIV Protease Inhibitors; HIV-1 Protease Inhibitors; Tumor Necrosis Factor Receptor Superfamily Member 6 (CD95)/PLC-gamma-1 Interaction Inhibitors</td>
<td>Phase III</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colchicine</td>
<td>Montreal Heart Institute (MHI)</td>
<td>Antimitotic Drugs; Microtubule Destabilizers (Tubulin Polymerization Inhibitors)</td>
<td>Phase III</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Darunavir/cobicistat</td>
<td>Shanghai Public Health Clinical Center</td>
<td>Cytochrome P450 CYP3A4 Inhibitors; HIV Protease Inhibitors</td>
<td>Phase III</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Favipiravir</td>
<td>FUJIFILM Toyama Chemical</td>
<td>RNA-Directed RNA Polymerase (Influenza A Virus H1N1) Inhibitors; RNA-Directed RNA Polymerase (NS5B) (HCV) Inhibitors</td>
<td>Phase III</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Favipiravir" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroxychloroquine sulfate</td>
<td>Shanghai Public Health Clinical Center</td>
<td>Autophagy Inhibitors; Palmitoyl-Protein Thioesterase 1 (PPT1) Inhibitors</td>
<td>Phase III</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Hydroxychloroquine sulfate" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remdesivir</td>
<td>Gilead; National Institute Allergy Infect Dis</td>
<td>RNA-Directed RNA Polymerase (Viral) Inhibitors; Viral Replication Inhibitors</td>
<td>Phase III</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Remdesivir" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tradipitant</td>
<td>Vanda Pharmaceuticals</td>
<td>Signal Transduction Modulators; Tachykinin NK1 Receptor Antagonists</td>
<td>Phase III</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Tradipitant" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1086588</td>
<td>Shanghai Jiao Tong University (SJTU)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Phase III</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Drug</strong></td>
<td><strong>Company</strong></td>
<td><strong>Mode of Action</strong></td>
<td><strong>Phase</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Anakinra</strong></td>
<td>Swedish Orphan Biovitrum</td>
<td>IL-1 Receptor Antagonists; Signal Transduction Modulators</td>
<td>II/III</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Emapalumab</strong></td>
<td>Swedish Orphan Biovitrum</td>
<td>Anti-IFN-gamma; Signal Transduction Modulators</td>
<td>II/III</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Leronlimab</strong></td>
<td>CytoDyn</td>
<td>Anti-CD195 (CCR5); Signal Transduction Modulators; Viral Entry Inhibitors</td>
<td>II/III</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lopinavir/ritonavir</strong></td>
<td>King Abdullah International Med Res Cent</td>
<td>HIV Protease Inhibitors; HIV-1 Protease Inhibitors; Tumor Necrosis Factor Receptor Superfamily Member 6 (CD95)/PLC-gamma-1 Interaction Inhibitors</td>
<td>II/III</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sarilumab</strong></td>
<td>Sanofi; Regeneron</td>
<td>Anti-Interleukin-6 Receptor Subunit Alpha (CD126; IL-6R); Signal Transduction Modulators</td>
<td>II/III</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Aviptadil</strong></td>
<td>Relief Therapeutics</td>
<td>Signal Transduction Modulators; VPAC1 (VIP1) Receptor Agonists; cAMP-Dependent Protein Kinase (PKA) Activators</td>
<td>II</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Interferon-beta</strong></td>
<td>Synairgen</td>
<td></td>
<td>II</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BVRS-GamVac-Combi</strong></td>
<td>Ministry Healthcare Russian Federation</td>
<td></td>
<td>I/II</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CHAdOx1-nCoV19</strong></td>
<td>University of Oxford</td>
<td>Spike Glycoprotein (S) (SARS-CoV-2; COVID-19 Virus)-Directed Immunity Inducers; Viral Entry Inhibitors</td>
<td>I/II</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Camostat mesylate</strong></td>
<td>Aarhus University</td>
<td>Transmembrane Protease Serine 2 (TMPRSS2) Inhibitors; Trypsin Inhibitors</td>
<td>I/II</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLS-5300</td>
<td>Inovio Pharmaceuticals; GeneOne Life Science</td>
<td>Spike Glycoprotein (S) (MERS-CoV)-Directed Immunity Inducers</td>
<td>Phase I/II</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV-SMENP-DC</td>
<td>Shenzhen Genoimmune Medical Institute</td>
<td>Envelope Protein (E) (SARS-CoV-2; COVID-19 Virus)-Directed Immunity Inducers; Membrane Glycoprotein (M) (SARS-CoV-2; COVID-19 Virus)-Directed Immunity Inducers; Nucleocapsid Phosphoprotein (N) (SARS-CoV-2; COVID-19 Virus)-Directed Immunity Inducers; Spike Glycoprotein (S) (SARS-CoV-2; COVID-19 Virus)-Directed Immunity Inducers</td>
<td>Phase I/II</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[131I]-Metuximab Injection</td>
<td>Fourth Military Medical University</td>
<td>Anti-CD147 (Basigin (BSG; CD147); Signal Transduction Modulators</td>
<td>Phase I/II</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1086612</td>
<td>Chongqing Sidemu Biotechnology</td>
<td>Anti-GM-CSF; Drugs Acting on NKG2D; Drugs Targeting Angiotensin-I Converting Enzyme-Related Carboxypeptidase (ACE2); Human Coronavirus Fusion Inhibitors; Signal Transduction Modulators</td>
<td>Phase I/II</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ad5-nCoV</td>
<td>CanSino Biologics</td>
<td>Spike Glycoprotein (S) (SARS-CoV-2; COVID-19 Virus)-Directed Immunity Inducers</td>
<td>Phase I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AmnioBoost</td>
<td>Lattice Biologics</td>
<td></td>
<td>Phase I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChAdOx1 MERS</td>
<td>Vaccitech Ltd.; University of Oxford</td>
<td>Spike Glycoprotein (S) (MERS-CoV)-Directed Immunity Inducers</td>
<td>Phase I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gimsilumab</td>
<td>Kinevant Sciences</td>
<td></td>
<td>Phase I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INO-4800</td>
<td>Inovio Pharmaceuticals</td>
<td>Human Coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2; COVID-19 Virus) Proteins-Directed Immunity Inducers</td>
<td>Phase I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Company</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Stage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVA-MERS-S</td>
<td>Ludwig-Maximilians-Univ. Muenchen</td>
<td>Spike Glycoprotein (S) (MERS-CoV)-Directed Immunity Inducers</td>
<td>Phase I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REGN-3048</td>
<td>Regeneron</td>
<td>Anti-Spike Glycoprotein (MERS-CoV Coronavirus)</td>
<td>Phase I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REGN-3051</td>
<td>Regeneron</td>
<td>Anti-Spike Glycoprotein (MERS-CoV Coronavirus)</td>
<td>Phase I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAB-301</td>
<td>SAB Biotherapeutics</td>
<td>Anti-Spike Glycoprotein (MERS-CoV Coronavirus)</td>
<td>Phase I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mRNA-1273</td>
<td>National Institutes of Health (NIH); Moderna</td>
<td>Human Coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2; COVID-19 Virus) Proteins-Directed Immunity Inducers</td>
<td>Phase I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1084319</td>
<td>Shenzhen Genoimmune Medical Institute</td>
<td>Drugs Targeting Human Coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2; COVID-19 Virus) Proteins</td>
<td>Phase I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APN-01</td>
<td>Apeiron Biologics</td>
<td></td>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAP-1002</td>
<td>Capricor Therapeutics</td>
<td></td>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB-MSCs</td>
<td>Wuhan University of Science Technology</td>
<td></td>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroquine phosphate</td>
<td>Guangdong Zhongsheng Pharmaceutical</td>
<td>Apoptosis Inducers; Histamine N-methyltransferase (HNMT) Inhibitors</td>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAS-181</td>
<td>Ansun Biopharma</td>
<td></td>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Name</td>
<td>Company</td>
<td>Therapeutic Category</td>
<td>Stage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danoprevir</td>
<td>Asclelis</td>
<td>Serine Protease NS3/Non-Structural Protein 4A (NS3/NS4A) (HCV) Inhibitors</td>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diammonium glycyrrhizinate</td>
<td>Sino Biopharmaceutical</td>
<td>Microbiome Modulators</td>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emapalumel</td>
<td>Pluristem</td>
<td>Angiogenesis Inducers</td>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFX-1</td>
<td>InflaRx; Staidson (Beijing) Biopharmaceuticals</td>
<td>Anti-C5 (Complement 5)</td>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium isoglycyrrhizinate</td>
<td>Sino Biopharmaceutical</td>
<td></td>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Namilumab</td>
<td>Izana Bioscience</td>
<td>Anti-GM-CSF; Signal Transduction Modulators</td>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opaganib hydrochloride</td>
<td>RedHill Biopharma</td>
<td>Angiogenesis Inhibitors; Dihydroceramide Desaturase Inhibitors; Signal Transduction Modulators; Sphingosine Kinase 2 (SPHK2; SPK2) Inhibitors</td>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oseltamivir phosphate</td>
<td>Wuhan Tongji Hospital</td>
<td>Neuraminidase (Sialidase) (Influenza Virus) Inhibitors</td>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peginterferon lambda-1α</td>
<td>Eiger BioPharmaceuticals</td>
<td></td>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sargramostim</td>
<td>Partner Therapeutics</td>
<td></td>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siltuximab</td>
<td>EUSA Pharma</td>
<td>Anti-IL-6; Signal Transduction Modulators</td>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Solnatide</strong></td>
<td>APEPTICO</td>
<td>Epithelial Sodium Channel (ENaC) Activators; Protein Kinase PKC alpha Inhibitors; Signal Transduction Modulators</td>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Umifenevir hydrochloride</strong></td>
<td>Wuhan Tongji Hospital</td>
<td>Capsid Assembly (Hepatitis B Virus) Modulators; Viral Entry Inhibitors</td>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Xiyanping</strong></td>
<td>Jiangxi Qingfeng Pharmaceutical Group</td>
<td>Neuraminidase (Sialidase) (Influenza Virus) Inhibitors</td>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>1085777</strong></td>
<td>Shenzhen Third People’s Hospital</td>
<td></td>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AD-MSCs (autologous)</strong></td>
<td>Hope Biosciences</td>
<td></td>
<td>IND Filed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CORVax12</strong></td>
<td>OncoSec Medical</td>
<td>Human Coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2; COVID-19 Virus) Proteins-Directed Immunity Inducers</td>
<td>IND Filed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CYNK-001</strong></td>
<td>Celularity</td>
<td></td>
<td>IND Filed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EIDD-2801</strong></td>
<td>Ridgeback Biotherapeutics</td>
<td></td>
<td>IND Filed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Remestemcel-L</strong></td>
<td>Mesoblast</td>
<td></td>
<td>IND Filed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TJ-003234</strong></td>
<td>I-Mab Biopharma</td>
<td>Anti-GM-CSF; Signal Transduction Modulators</td>
<td>IND Filed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ad26 SARS-CoV-2</strong></td>
<td>Johnson &amp; Johnson</td>
<td>Human Coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2; COVID-19 Virus) Proteins-Directed Immunity Inducers</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CK-0802</strong></td>
<td>Cellenkos</td>
<td></td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Name</td>
<td>Company</td>
<td>Therapeutic Target</td>
<td>Stage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CMAB-020</strong></td>
<td>Mabpharm</td>
<td>Anti-S (Spike Glycoprotein (SARS-CoV-2; COVID-19 Virus); Drugs Targeting Basigin (BSG; CD147)/ACE2 Interaction</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FM-201</strong></td>
<td>Future Medicine</td>
<td></td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GREVAX/MERS</strong></td>
<td>Greffex</td>
<td></td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Human leukocyte interferon alpha</strong></td>
<td>AIM ImmunoTech</td>
<td>Drugs Targeting Human Coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2; COVID-19 Virus) Proteins</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IBIO-200</strong></td>
<td>iBio (US)</td>
<td>Anti-Spike Glycoprotein (MERS-CoV Coronavirus)</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IR-101C</strong></td>
<td>Immune Response BioPharma</td>
<td>Human Coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2; COVID-19 Virus) Proteins-Directed Immunity Inducers</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LCA-60</strong></td>
<td>Vir Biotechnology</td>
<td>Anti-Spike Glycoprotein (MERS-CoV Coronavirus)</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LUNAR-COV19</strong></td>
<td>Duke University; Arcturus Therapeutics</td>
<td>Human Coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2; COVID-19 Virus) Proteins-Directed Immunity Inducers</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MVA-MERS-S DF1</strong></td>
<td>Universitaetsklinikum Hamburg-Eppendorf</td>
<td>Spike Glycoprotein (S) (MERS-CoV)-Directed Immunity Inducers</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PRTX-007</strong></td>
<td>Primimmune Therapeutics</td>
<td>Signal Transduction Modulators; Toll-Like Receptor 7 (TLR7) Agonists</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PittCoVacc</strong></td>
<td>University of Pittsburgh</td>
<td>Spike Glycoprotein (S) (SARS-CoV-2; COVID-19 Virus)-Directed Immunity Inducers</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rintatolimod</strong></td>
<td>AIM ImmunoTech</td>
<td>Signal Transduction Modulators; Toll-Like Receptor 3 (TLR3) Agonists</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Name</td>
<td>Company</td>
<td>Classification</td>
<td>Stage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruxolitinib phosphate</td>
<td>Novartis; Incyte</td>
<td>Jak1 Inhibitors; Jak2 Inhibitors; Signal Transduction Modulators; Tyk2 Inhibitors</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STI-4398</td>
<td>Sorrento Therapeutics</td>
<td>Human Coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2; COVID-19 Virus) Proteins-Directed Immunity Inducers; Viral Entry Inhibitors</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STI-6991</td>
<td>Sorrento Therapeutics</td>
<td>Spike Glycoprotein (S) (SARS-CoV-2; COVID-19 Virus) - Directed Immunity Inducers</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sp2CBMTD</td>
<td>Pneumagen</td>
<td></td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNX-1800</td>
<td>Tonix Pharmaceuticals</td>
<td>Human Coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2; COVID-19 Virus) Proteins-Directed Immunity Inducers</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZIP-1642</td>
<td>Ziphius Therapeutics</td>
<td>Spike Glycoprotein (S) (SARS-CoV-2; COVID-19 Virus) - Directed Immunity Inducers</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rCIG</td>
<td>GigaGen</td>
<td></td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1086184</td>
<td>Osaka University; AnGes</td>
<td>Human Coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2; COVID-19 Virus) Proteins-Directed Immunity Inducers</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1086209</td>
<td>Kentucky BioProcessing (KBP)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1080103</td>
<td>CureVac</td>
<td>Human Coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2; COVID-19 Virus) Proteins-Directed Immunity Inducers</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1082107</td>
<td>Novavax</td>
<td>Spike Glycoprotein (S) (SARS-CoV-2; COVID-19 Virus) - Directed Immunity Inducers; Viral Entry Inhibitors</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1083739</td>
<td>Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma</td>
<td>Drugs Targeting Human Coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2; COVID-19 Virus) Proteins</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Targets for Therapeutic Intervention

For an overview of validated therapeutic targets for this indication, consult the targetscape below. The targetscape shows an overall cellular and molecular landscape or comprehensive network of connections among the current therapeutic targets for the treatment of the condition and their biological actions. An arrow indicates a positive effect; a dash indicates a negative effect. Gray or lighter symbols are protein targets that are not validated (i.e., not under active development [UAD]). Pink text boxes with red borders indicate validated gene targets. Yellow text boxes are gene targets not UAD. Purple and pink text boxes indicate extracellular and intracellular effects, respectively. Green text boxes indicate a related disease/condition/symptom. For in-depth information on a specific target or mechanism of action, see the corresponding section in this report.

Coronavirus (CoV) Infection Targetscape

Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome-Coronavirus (SARS-CoV) Infection Targetscape
Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus (MERS-CoV) Infection Targetscape

Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2; COVID-19) Infection Targetscape
Latest Headlines

08-Apr-2020

**FDA approves the initiation of stem cell study for protection against COVID-19**

Hope Biosciences announced that the FDA has approved a phase II clinical trial evaluating efficacy and safety of the company’s autologous, adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cells (HB-adMSCs) to provide immune support against COVID-19. In a recent phase I/I study, which evaluate this stem cell therapy for rheumatoid arthritis, it was shown that HB-adMSCs were safe and appeared to be effective in attenuating systemic inflammation. In COVID-19 patients, inflammation is a driving force behind disease progression, therefore, it is critical to regulate the immune system as early as possible. A total of 75 participants are expected to enroll in the single arm, nonrandomized phase II study, with the eligible subjects being either 50 years of age, having pre-existing health conditions, or being at high-exposure risk. HB-adMSCs will be administered via 5 intravenous infusions over a 14-week period, with follow-up evaluations through 6 months. All participants will be monitored for changes in health status, including immune cell levels, inflammatory markers, and requirements for supplemental care or hospitalization. The trial’s primary objective is to determine the efficacy of HB-adMSCs in preparing the immune system to fight the virus more efficiently, should one become infected. It is expected that this pre-treatment will limit the progression and severity of COVID-19, ultimately keeping patients out of the hospital and off of mechanical ventilation. This is the first of 3 INDs related to COVID-19 that Hope Biosciences has filed with FDA. This initial protocol is specifically designed for patients who already have their own stem cells banked with Hope Biosciences. The next two protocols will utilize a donor cell source that will aim in protecting against COVID-19 for those at high risk (patients and frontline workers) and treating hospitalized patients (Hope Biosciences News Release).

08-Apr-2020

**Researchers in Montreal and NYU partner on new COVID-19 clinical study**

The Montreal Heart Institute (MHI) Research Center announced a strategic partnership with the NYU Grossman School of Medicine in New York City for its COVID-19 clinical study to evaluate colchicine for the prevention of major inflammatory storm present in adults suffering from severe complications related to COVID-19. Approximately 6,000 participants are expected to be recruited to this clinical trial, named COLCORONA (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier NCT04322682), and they will be followed for 30 days with initial results available a few days after study completion. COLCORONA was initiated on March 23, 2020 at the Montreal Heart Institute in Canada, with the researchers at this center now partnering with colleagues at NYU, with the aim of bringing this study immediately to patients in New York City. The patients eligible to participate in the study must test positive for COVID-19, be 40 years and over and have at least one high-risk criteria, not be hospitalized, be willing to take the drug or placebo daily for 30 days, and be willing to participate in two follow-up calls by phone or videoconference. Women who do not take contraceptives, those who are pregnant or those who are breastfeeding are not eligible for the trial. COLCORONA is coordinated by the Montreal Health Innovations Coordinating Center (MHICC) is funded by the Government of Quebec and supported by Pharmascience and CGI (Montreal Heart Institute News Release).

08-Apr-2020

**Investigator-initiated IND application filed to study CORVax12 to prevent COVID-19**

OncoSec Medical has announced that Providence Cancer Institute, a part of Providence St. Joseph Health, is pursuing a first-in-human phase I trial of OncoSec’s novel DNA-encodable, investigational vaccine, CORVax12, which is designed to act as a prophylactic vaccine to
prevent COVID-19. CORVax12 consists of OncoSec’s existing product candidate, Tavo (tavokinogene telsaplasmid, plasmid IL-12) in combination with an immunogenic component of the SARS-CoV-2 virus recently developed by researchers at the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) and licensed to OncoSec on a nonexclusive basis. Specifically, OncoSec’s CORVax12 vaccine approach combines the coadministration of Tavo with a DNA-encodable version of the SARS-CoV-2 spike, or S glycoprotein, to enhance immunogenicity of the component developed by scientists at the NIAID Vaccine Research Center. CORVax12 is designed to drive a coordinated vaccine response, capable of drawing upon the innate, adaptive humoral and adaptive cellular arms. OncoSec believes the delivery of CORVax12 using its electroporation system may allow for highly effective DNA transfer for this investigational vaccine. Providence investigators have filed an investigator-initiated IND application with the FDA and have designed a clinical trial protocol that will evaluate the vaccination of healthy adult volunteers utilizing OncoSec’s next-generation, investigational APOLLO generator technology for the first time clinically. The trial will also include extensive immune monitoring. OncoSec will supply CORVax12 and its investigational APOLLO electroporation device to Providence as part of this effort. OncoSec will contribute manufacturing, preclinical and prior clinical information and data for Tavo, along with manufacturing data for its APOLLO technology, to support FDA’s allowance of the Providence IND. Providence will hold the IND, if cleared by FDA, and perform the preclinical and clinical development work (OncoSec Medical News Release).

08-Apr-2020

**Emapalumab and anakinra studied to reduce hyperinflammation and respiratory distress in COVID-19**

Hospitals in Italy are enrolling patients aged 30-79 years with SARS-CoV-2 infection and presence of respiratory distress and hyperinflammation for a phase II/III study of Swedish Orphan Biovitrum’s emapalumab, an anti-interferon gamma monoclonal antibody, and anakinra, an IL-1 receptor antagonist (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier NCT04324021). This open-label, controlled, parallel group, three-arm, multicenter study will assess the efficacy and safety of emapalumab or anakinra, versus standard of care (SoC), in reducing hyperinflammation and respiratory distress. The study is planned to consist of three groups, each comprising 18 patients. Patients will be randomized to either emapalumab + SoC, anakinra + SoC or only SoC for 2 weeks. The trial’s primary outcome measure is treatment success, defined as the proportion of patients not requiring invasive mechanical ventilation or extracorporeal membrane oxygenation. The trial will also evaluate parameters including time to mechanical ventilation, time to hospital discharge, and overall survival, among others (ClinicalTrials.gov Website).

07-Apr-2020

**Ennaid begins development of ENU-200 for COVID-19 infection**

Ennaid Therapeutics is advancing the development of ENU-200 as a therapeutic to treat the up to 80% of asymptomatic, mild to moderate cases of COVID-19 viral infections. ENU-200 is a repurposed, patent-pending, orally deliverable antiviral drug that was previously approved by the FDA for a different indication. In silico modeling conducted by Ennaid has revealed that ENU-200 delivers specific antiviral activity against two SARS-CoV-2 proteins, S glycoprotein and Mpro. This simultaneous blockage may result in enhanced antiviral activity that could successfully and broadly treat COVID-19 and other coronaviruses. Utilizing the FDA’s emergency/compassionate use regulatory pathway, or the FDA’s 505(b)(2) application process, Ennaid believes it can quickly bring ENU-200 to market by treating patients with COVID-19 in an in-home, self-dosing phase III trial of patients with asymptomatic, mild to moderate coronavirus infections utilizing its iClickCare secure clinical reporting software service to monitor the in-home trials. Ennaid is discussing the planned trial with the FDA and other regulatory agencies around the world, which if approved, could enable ENU-200 to be available to patients in 6-9 months (Ennaid Therapeutics News Release).
Opaganib approved for compassionate use in patients with COVID-19 in Italy

Cosmo Pharmaceuticals announced that its participated company Redhill, with Cosmo’s support, has obtained approval by the Istituto Nazionale per le Malattie Infettive Lazzaro Spallanzani (the Italian National Institute for Infectious Diseases) for the immediate compassionate use of its proprietary investigational drug opaganib (Yeliva, ABC-294640) to treat patients with confirmed coronavirus infection (COVID-19) with life-threatening clinical conditions. Opaganib is a first-in-class, orally administered, sphingosine kinase 2 (SK2) selective inhibitor with anticancer, antiviral and anti-inflammatory activities, targeting multiple oncology, inflammatory and gastrointestinal indications. In preclinical studies, opaganib has demonstrated both antiviral and anti-inflammatory activities, showing potential to reduce lung inflammatory disorders, such as pneumonia, and mitigate pulmonary fibrotic damage. Prior preclinical findings support the potential role of SK2 in the replication-transcription complex of positive-strand single-stranded RNA viruses, similar to coronavirus, and its inhibition may potentially inhibit viral replication. In vivo, opaganib showed the ability to decrease fatality rates from influenza-virus infection and ameliorated Pseudomonas aeruginosa-induced lung injury. To date, 131 subjects have been dosed with opaganib in ongoing and completed phase I and phase II studies, evaluating the candidate for oncology indications in the U.S., pharmacokinetic properties in healthy volunteers in the U.S., and under the existing FDA-approved expanded access requests from physicians for individual oncology patients. Data from these studies have established safety and tolerability in humans both in the U.S. and ex-U.S. The current study initially plans to enroll 140 patients with confirmed COVID-19 infection at three major hospitals in Northern Italy. A compassionate use program is ongoing in Israel, where opaganib has been already administered to the first patient/s at a leading hospital, and discussions are also ongoing for a clinical development program as well as potential expanded access of opaganib for COVID-19 in the U.S. Cosmo and RedHill have agreed that Cosmo will become the exclusive or main commercial supplier in the future, subject to opaganib demonstrating positive clinical results, negotiation of final terms and the necessary regulatory approvals. Accordingly, the parties have initiated the manufacturing tech transfer process (Cosmo Pharmaceuticals News Release).

Mesoblast’s IND for remestemcel-L in COVID-19-related ARDS receives clearance

Mesoblast has received clearance from the FDA for an IND application to treat patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) caused by coronavirus infection (COVID-19) with intravenous infusions of its allogeneic mesenchymal stem cell (MSC) product candidate remestemcel-L. The IND clearance provides a pathway for use of remestemcel-L in patients with COVID-19-related ARDS under both expanded-access compassionate use and in a planned randomized, controlled trial. Remestemcel-L is being developed for various inflammatory conditions, and is believed to counteract the inflammatory processes implicated in these diseases by downregulating the production of proinflammatory cytokines, increasing production of anti-inflammatory cytokines, and enabling recruitment of naturally occurring anti-inflammatory cells to involved tissues. The safety and therapeutic effects of remestemcel-L intravenous infusions have been evaluated in over 1,100 patients across various clinical trials. Remestemcel-L demonstrated efficacy in a phase III trial for steroid-refractory acute graft-versus-host disease (GvHD) in children, a potentially fatal inflammatory condition due to a similar cytokine storm process as is seen in COVID-19 ARDS. Additionally, data from a post-hoc analysis of a randomized, placebo-controlled study in patients (n = 60) with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) demonstrated that remestemcel-L significantly improved respiratory function in patients with the same elevated inflammatory biomarkers that are also observed in patients with COVID-19 ARDS. These promising outcomes provide the rationale for evaluating remestemcel-L in patients with COVID-19 ARDS (Mesoblast News Release).
Novel alpha-ketoamide inhibitor with activity against main protease of SARS-CoV-2

Researchers at Philipps-Universitat Marburg and Universitat zu Lubeck analyzed X-ray structure of unliganded main protease (Mpro) of SARS-CoV-2 and its complex with alpha-ketoamide inhibitors, and on the basis of this structure, the lead compound was optimized into a potent inhibitor of the SARS-CoV-2 Mpro. This lead compound, [I], inhibited the purified recombinant SARS-CoV-2 Mpro with IC50 of 0.67 mcM, with the corresponding IC50 values for inhibition of the SARS-CoV Mpro and the MERS-CoV Mpro being 0.90 and 0.58 mcM, respectively. Pharmacokinetic (PK) studies in CD-1 mice using subcutaneously administered [I] at 3 mg/kg revealed Cmax of 126.2 ng/mL and plasma half-life of 1.8 hours. Finally, the compound was nebulized using an inhalation device at 3 mg/kg, with 33 ng/g of the compound still found in lung tissue at 24 hours after administration. Inhalation of [I] was well tolerated and no adverse effects were seen in the treated mice, suggesting the potential of this direct administration of the compound to the lungs. Given the favorable PK data, these findings provide a useful framework for developing pyridone-containing inhibitors toward anticroviral drugs (Zhang, L. et al. Science 2020, Advanced publication).

FDA accepts IND for phase I testing of INO-4800 for COVID-19

The FDA has accepted Inovio Pharmaceuticals' IND application for INO-4800, its DNA vaccine candidate designed to prevent COVID-19 infection. This paves the way for phase I testing of INO-4800 in healthy volunteers beginning this week (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier NCT04336410). The study will enroll up to 40 healthy adult volunteers in the U.S. Each participant will receive two doses of INO-4800 4 weeks apart, and the initial immune responses and safety data from the study are expected by late summer. Preclinical data have shown promising immune response results across multiple animal models with INO-4800. Additional preclinical trials, including challenge studies, will continue in parallel with the phase I trial. Upon attaining initial safety and immunogenicity data from phase I studies, Inovio plans to advance INO-4800 to phase II efficacy studies as rapidly as possible. Inovio has manufactured thousands of doses of INO-4800 to support ongoing phase I and planned phase II trials. The company is working to scale up manufacturing and plans to have one million doses of the vaccine available by year-end for additional trials and emergency use, pending appropriate regulatory guidance and funding. Advancement of INO-4800 has been supported by collaborators and contributors at the Wistar Institute, the Coalition for Epidemic Preparedness Innovations (CEPI), the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, VGXI and the U.S. Department of Defense (Inovio Pharmaceuticals News Release).

SARS-CoV-2 vaccine shows promise in first peer-reviewed article on a potential COVID-19 vaccine

The University of Pittsburgh has tested a candidate SARS-CoV-2 vaccine, PittCoVacc, with promising results in vivo reported in the first peer-reviewed journal article on a candidate COVID-19 vaccine. The work focused on the viral spike glycoprotein (S) delivered intracutaneously by dissolving microneedle array (MNA), a small patch of 400 tiny needles. After MNA-delivered MERS-S1 subunit vaccines elicited strong and persistent antigen-specific antibody responses in mice, MNA SARS-CoV-2 subunit vaccines were rapidly designed, with testing in mice occurring within 4 weeks of the SARS-CoV-2 spike sequence becoming publicly available. These displayed immunogenicity in C57BL/6 mice after intradermal administration with MNAs containing 20 mcg of vaccine, with significant increases in antigen-specific antibody responses detected as early as week 2 compared with controls. A SARS-CoV-2 subunit vaccine including the Toll-like receptor (TLR) peptide agonist RS09 in the trimer subunit resulted in a
negligible effect on SARS-CoV-2 specific IgG titers. In addition, gamma irradiation used for sterilization of the vaccines did not affect immunogenicity. Future directions for the research include determining the duration of effect, whether antibodies from MNA-SARS-CoV-2-immunized animals can neutralize virus infectivity and assessment of protection from infection in animal challenge models, as well as eventual clinical evaluation. The researchers hope to take PittCoVacc into phase I testing within the next few months. The work also demonstrated the rapidity with which viable new vaccine candidates can now be designed and produced (Kim, E. et al. EBioMedicine 2020, Advanced publication; University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine News Release)
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Guidelines

A rapid advice guideline for the diagnosis and treatment of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) infected pneumonia (standard version) (February 2020)

Clinical management of severe acute respiratory infection when Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) infection is suspected - Interim guidance (World Health Organization, 2019)

Clinical management of severe acute respiratory infection when novel coronavirus (nCoV) infection is suspected - Interim guidance (World Health Organization, January 12, 2020)


Diagnosis, treatment, and prevention of 2019 novel coronavirus infection in children: Experts consensus statement (February 2020)

Infection prevention and control during health care for probable or confirmed cases of novel coronavirus (nCoV) infection - Interim guidance (World Health Organization, May 6, 2013)

Infection prevention and control during health care when novel coronavirus (nCoV) infection is suspected - Interim guidance (World Health Organization, January 25, 2020)


Interim infection prevention and control recommendations for patients with known or patients under investigation for 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) in a healthcare setting (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, January 2020)

Management of asymptomatic persons who are RTPCR positive for Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) - Interim guidance (World Health Organization, January 2018)

Treatment of MERS-CoV: Information for clinicians - Clinical decision-making support for treatment of MERS-CoV patients (Public Health England, July 2014)

Update on the epidemiology of Middle East Respiratory Syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) infection, and guidance for the public, clinicians, and public health authorities - January 2015 (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, January 30, 2015)
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