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EXCLUDING YEAR FROM MANUSCRIPT ID IN REPORTS

Being able to exclude papers from a certain year has become a frequent request. Many journals do not want papers included in manuscript counts if the revision took a year or longer to be submitted.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision</th>
<th>Manuscript Type</th>
<th>Manuscript ID</th>
<th># of Manuscripts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accept</td>
<td>Original Article</td>
<td>MCU4-2019-02-0003.R1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Original Article</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accept</td>
<td>Original Article</td>
<td>MCU4-2019-04-0012</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Original Article</td>
<td>MCU4-2019-04-0013</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Original Article</td>
<td>MCU4-2019-07-0016</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Original Article</td>
<td>MCU4-2019-08-0018</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Revision</td>
<td>Original Article</td>
<td>MCU4-2017-09-0006</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Original Article</td>
<td>MCU4-2019-02-0001</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Original Article</td>
<td>MCU4-2019-02-0002</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Original Article</td>
<td>MCU4-2019-02-0002.R1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Original Article</td>
<td>MCU4-2019-02-0003</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor Revision</td>
<td>Original Article</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CREATING CUSTOM GROUPS

The ability to create your own custom groups of information in a report can be helpful in determining the layout of a report.
CREATING CUSTOM GROUPS

The ability to create your own custom groups of information in a report can be helpful in determining the layout of a report.

You can create Custom Groups for all types of information:
- Group Countries into Regions
- Group Decision Types
- Group Keywords
EDITORIAL BOARD REPORT
For status of Editorial Board Members who are acting as reviewers.

Person Designations
Select the person designations for this user:
- Editorial Board (EB)
- Star Reviewer (STAR)
- Do Not Contact (DNC)
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For status of Editorial Board Members who are acting as reviewers.
EDITORIAL BOARD REPORT
For status of Editorial Board Members who are acting as reviewers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manuscript ID</th>
<th># Days From Review Assignment to Completion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MCU4-2019-05-0014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCU4-2019-02-0002</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCU4-2019-02-0004</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCU4-2019-02-0006</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EDITORIAL BOARD REPORT

For status of Editorial Board Members who are acting as reviewers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reviewer Full Name</th>
<th>Reviewer Invitation Response</th>
<th>Manuscript ID</th>
<th># Days From Review Assignment to Completion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hager, Paul</td>
<td>Agreed</td>
<td>MCU4-2019-05-0014</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hager, Paul</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summary for footers:
- Count
- Count Distinct
- Count
- None
## EDITORIAL BOARD REPORT

For status of Editorial Board Members who are acting as reviewers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reviewer Full Name</th>
<th>Reviewer Invitation Response</th>
<th>Manuscript ID</th>
<th># Days From Review Assignment to Completion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reviewer, John</td>
<td>Agreed</td>
<td>MCU4-2019-02-0002</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MCU4-2019-02-0004</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MCU4-2019-02-0006</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MCU4-2019-02-0007</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MCU4-2019-03-0011</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MCU4-2019-05-0015</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agreed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reviewer, John</td>
<td></td>
<td>MCU4-2019-02-0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MCU4-2019-02-0003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MCU4-2019-02-0005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MCU4-2019-07-0016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# AE RECOMMENDATION REPORT

This report includes averages for # of Days to Recommendation, # of Reviewers Selected, Invited, Assigned and Completed. Also included is % of total for each Editor.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation Performer Full Name</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Manuscript ID</th>
<th>Date Sent To Editor</th>
<th>Recommendation Date</th>
<th># of Days</th>
<th># Reviewers Selected</th>
<th># Reviewers Invited</th>
<th># Reviewers Assigned</th>
<th># Reviews Completed</th>
<th># of Manuscripts</th>
<th>% of Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Editor, Amy</td>
<td>Major Revision</td>
<td>MCU4-2019-07-0016</td>
<td>Jul 9, 2019</td>
<td>Jul 9, 2019</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MCU4-2019-08-0018</td>
<td>Aug 20, 2019</td>
<td>Aug 20, 2019</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Revision</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>66.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor Revision</td>
<td></td>
<td>MCU4-2019-08-0017</td>
<td>Aug 20, 2019</td>
<td>Aug 20, 2019</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor Revision</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Editor, Amy</td>
<td>Minor Revision</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AE RECOMMENDATION REPORT

This report includes averages for # of Days to Recommendation, # of Reviewers Selected, Invited, Assigned and Completed. Also included is % of total for each Editor.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation Performer Full Name</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Manuscript ID</th>
<th>Date Sent To Editor</th>
<th>Recommendation Date</th>
<th># of Days</th>
<th># Reviewers Selected</th>
<th># Reviewers Invited</th>
<th># Reviewers Assigned</th>
<th># Reviews Completed</th>
<th># of Manuscripts</th>
<th>% of Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Editor, Amy</td>
<td>Major Revision</td>
<td>MCU4-2019-07-0016</td>
<td>Jul 09, 2019</td>
<td>Jul 9, 2019</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MCU4-2019-08-0018</td>
<td>Aug 20, 2019</td>
<td>Aug 20, 2019</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Revision</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>66.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor Revision</td>
<td></td>
<td>MCU4-2019-08-0017</td>
<td>Aug 20, 2019</td>
<td>Aug 20, 2019</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor Revision</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Editor, Amy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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This report includes averages for # of Days to Recommendation, # of Reviewers Selected, Invited, Assigned and Completed. Also included is % of total for each Editor.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation Performer Full Name</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Manuscript ID</th>
<th>Date Sent To Editor</th>
<th>Recommendation Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
AE RECOMMENDATION REPORT

This report includes averages for # of Days to Recommendation, # of Reviewers Selected, Invited, Assigned and Completed. Also included is % of total for each Editor.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Performer Full Name</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Manuscript ID</th>
<th>Date Sent To Editor</th>
<th>Recommendation Date</th>
<th># of Days</th>
<th># Reviewers Selected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>abcd</td>
<td>abcd</td>
<td></td>
<td>Oct 16, 2019 9:37:45 AM</td>
<td>Oct 16, 2019 9:37:45 AM</td>
<td>1,234</td>
<td>1,234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>abcd</td>
<td>abcd</td>
<td></td>
<td>Oct 16, 2019 9:37:45 AM</td>
<td>Oct 16, 2019 9:37:45 AM</td>
<td>1,234</td>
<td>1,234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>abcd</td>
<td>abcd</td>
<td></td>
<td>Oct 16, 2019 9:37:45 AM</td>
<td>Oct 16, 2019 9:37:45 AM</td>
<td>1,234</td>
<td>1,234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>abcd</td>
<td>abcd</td>
<td></td>
<td>Oct 16, 2019 9:37:45 AM</td>
<td>Oct 16, 2019 9:37:45 AM</td>
<td>1,234</td>
<td>1,234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>abcd</td>
<td>abcd</td>
<td></td>
<td>Oct 16, 2019 9:37:45 AM</td>
<td>Oct 16, 2019 9:37:45 AM</td>
<td>1,234</td>
<td>1,234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>abcd</td>
<td>abcd</td>
<td></td>
<td>Oct 16, 2019 9:37:45 AM</td>
<td>Oct 16, 2019 9:37:45 AM</td>
<td>1,234</td>
<td>1,234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>abcd</td>
<td>abcd</td>
<td></td>
<td>Oct 16, 2019 9:37:45 AM</td>
<td>Oct 16, 2019 9:37:45 AM</td>
<td>1,234</td>
<td>1,234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>abcd</td>
<td>abcd</td>
<td></td>
<td>Oct 16, 2019 9:37:45 AM</td>
<td>Oct 16, 2019 9:37:45 AM</td>
<td>1,234</td>
<td>1,234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>abcd</td>
<td>abcd</td>
<td></td>
<td>Oct 16, 2019 9:37:45 AM</td>
<td>Oct 16, 2019 9:37:45 AM</td>
<td>1,234</td>
<td>1,234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>abcd</td>
<td>abcd</td>
<td></td>
<td>Oct 16, 2019 9:37:45 AM</td>
<td>Oct 16, 2019 9:37:45 AM</td>
<td>1,234</td>
<td>1,234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>abcd</td>
<td>abcd</td>
<td></td>
<td>Oct 16, 2019 9:37:45 AM</td>
<td>Oct 16, 2019 9:37:45 AM</td>
<td>1,234</td>
<td>1,234</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Web of Science Group
AE RECOMMENDATION REPORT

This report includes averages for # of Days to Recommendation, # of Reviewers Selected, Invited, Assigned and Completed. Also included is % of total for each Editor.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reviewers Assigned</th>
<th># Reviews Completed</th>
<th># of Manuscripts</th>
<th>% of Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1,234</td>
<td>1,234</td>
<td>1,234</td>
<td>123,400.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,234</td>
<td>1,234</td>
<td>1,234</td>
<td>123,400.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,234</td>
<td>1,234</td>
<td>1,234</td>
<td>123,400.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,234</td>
<td>1,234</td>
<td>1,234</td>
<td>123,400.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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AE RECOMMENDATION REPORT

This report includes averages for # of Days to Recommendation, # of Reviewers Selected, Invited, Assigned and Completed. Also included is % of total for each Editor.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation Performer Full Name</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Manuscript ID</th>
<th>Date Sent To Editor</th>
<th>Recommendation Date</th>
<th># of Days</th>
<th># Reviewers Selected</th>
<th># Reviewers Invited</th>
<th># Reviewers Assigned</th>
<th># Reviews Completed</th>
<th># of Manuscripts</th>
<th>% of Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Editor, Amy</td>
<td>Major Revision</td>
<td>MCU4-2019-07-0016</td>
<td>Jul 09, 2019</td>
<td>Jul 9, 2019</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MCU4-2019-08-0018</td>
<td>Aug 20, 2019</td>
<td>Aug 20, 2019</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Revision</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>66.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor Revision</td>
<td></td>
<td>MCU4-2019-08-0017</td>
<td>Aug 20, 2019</td>
<td>Aug 20, 2019</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor Revision</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Editor, Amy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Web of Science Group
NEED MORE REPORT IDEAS?
Be sure to check out the video I created this year for ISMTE

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UK6c1eH57wY
Publisher Analytics Reports

*Publisher Analytics Reports* are structured, complete and ready-to-use reports bringing data together in a range of publication-focused analyses.

**Current Standard reports:**

- Journal Performance Analytics report
- Publishing Output Analysis report
- Subject Area/Opportunity Analysis report
- Funding/Institutional/Regional Analyses report
Editorial reports
Capture data about manuscript submission, peer review, decisions, and journal performance.

Post-publication analysis
Can tell you about the impact and influence of the papers you publish.
PRODUCTIVITY
document and citation counts and
dicators directly derived reveal
trends and overall performance but
will vary between subjects,
document types, and over time

TIME
citation patterns over time
show topicality and
persistence in the literature

NORMALIZED
correct for citation variance
from document types, subject, & time
Allow wider comparisons
across subject disciplines

DISTRIBUTION
citations are not distributed
equally across any group of
publications or articles
Looking at distribution puts
performance into context of
the group
How is a journal performing?
What is it publishing, how much, rising or falling?
Analysing publishing trends

Document Types Published
See what content the journal is publishing. Articles, reviews, letters, abstracts etc.

Publishing Output Trend
How is the journal’s publishing output changing over time? Is it in growth or decline?

Comparative Publishing Output Trend
How does this trend compare with a core group of competitors in the same subject?
Understanding impact

**Comparative Journal Impact Factor Trend**
What is the impact of these titles and how is it changing over time – is the journal improving or in decline?

**Average Journal Impact Factor Percentile**
How does the journal rank among all its subject peers and is it rising or failing in the ranking for the subject category?

**Titles Citing the Journal**
Where are these citations coming from – which titles are citing the journal and what proportion of those are self-citations?
What drives journal performance?

Understanding the contribution of institutions, regions, and funders to journal performance
Who is and isn’t publishing in your journal?

Apply to leading organizations/institutions and funding agency in the category
are the most influential institutions and funders contributing to the journal?
What share of those lead countries/regions is a journal getting?

**Author Region Affiliation and Category Impact**
The leading regional affiliations in the journal and their impact. Is the journal attracting authors from the most influential?

**Author Region Affiliation and % International Collaborations**
How many papers in the journal are international collaborations? Is the journal geographically diverse or regionally focused?

**Journal Region Document and Citation Share**
What share of authors from the top countries/regions in the subject does the journal have?
Benchmarking publication output — publisher and journal share

Journal Document and Citation Share in Category
Which titles have the highest share of documents and citations in the subject category and what is the journal’s 'market share'?

Publisher Document and Citation Share in Category
Who are the leading publishers in the subject category and what are their shares of documents and citations?

Open Access in Category
What impact is open access having on the subject category? The leading DOAJ gold open access titles and their category impact.
Which papers grab the attention – and which don’t

Journal Citation Distribution
What proportion of papers in the journal are being cited and how often – which are the most cited papers?

Full Article Level Metrics
Identify the best (and worst) performing papers in the journal – and benchmark individual article performance.
The report comes with a full complement of supporting datasets to enable further analyses. This is open access to them in the field.

To learn more...
How do editorial decisions and content type contribute to journal performance?

Is the journal accepting the right content?
Finding a journal’s editorial content in the Web of Science

Editorial System Data
From manuscript tracking system (such as ScholarOne Manuscripts).

Article Matching
Trained algorithms match manuscripts to Web of Science by title, abstract, and authors.

Article-Level Bibliometrics
Returned for matching accepted and rejected papers to build the report.

Match Rate
The proportion of matched Web of Science records to manuscripts accepted or rejected by the journal. The match probability can be adjusted to ensure effective matching.
The influence of senior editors on content published in the journal

**Final Decision Breakdown**
The proportion of documents accepted or rejected. Optionally, pending revisions can also be included in the analysis.

**Accepted Papers: EICs and Category Impact**
The number of accepted papers categorized by EiC and their impact – both normalized (CNCI) and citation impact (citations/document).
And Associate Editors and journal content types

Accepted Papers: Associate Editors and Category Impact
The number of accepted papers categorized by Associate Editor and their citation impact.

Accepted Papers: Manuscript Type and Category Impact
Accepted papers by manuscript type and their citation impact.
What happens to the manuscripts your journal rejects?
Is the journal losing good content?
Recipient Journal Impact Factor

The Journal Impact Factor of recipient titles – are rejected papers going to low or high impact titles? Note that titles indexed in ESCI or AHCI will not have Journal Impact Factors.

Category Impact of Rejected Papers

Do rejected papers published elsewhere go on to have more or less impact than would be expected for documents of the same type, publication year in the subject category?

Citation Rate for Rejected Papers

How many citations, on average, do rejected papers published elsewhere receive?
And do they benefit recipient publications?

Publication Destination and Impact
How many rejected papers are being published and their category impact.

What does this tell you?
Where the papers are being published and whether they adding or detracting from the journal's performance.
These analyses link journal editorial and publication data to reveal the effect of decisions and selections on the citation impact of the journal. The report gives a full breakdown of Editor-in-Chief and (Associate) Editor decisions, the proportions and numbers of papers accepted and rejected and the impact of those papers accepted for publication. The report also explores the impact of different manuscript types and content selections. The report is accompanied by a full analysis of accepted paper bibliometrics to identify which were the most and least influential. The report also explores the fate of rejected papers: are these being published elsewhere and, if so, where are they being published? Uncover whether a journal is making optimal decisions and spot opportunities to retain good content or expand existing coverage. A full analysis of rejected paper bibliometrics is provided. THIS IS A SAMPLE REPORT
Towards a better understanding of publishing outputs in a subject area

Understanding publication output, contributors, and the impact of regional and open access trends
What does the subject ‘look’ like — overall publishing trends

**Overall Publishing Output Trend in Subject**
This includes both journal growth and new titles added to the Web of Science.

**Publishing Output in Subject**
Geographical trends in the subject between 2008 and 2018. Which countries/regions are driving publishing output?

**Annual Output Across Leading Countries/Regions**
Geographical cross-section of most recent publishing year

**Publishing Impact by Country/Region (2018)**
Publishing output cross-section with normalized citation impact in the category (CNCI). Which countries/regions have the most impact?
Benchmarking citation impact – who are the leading publishers?

Publisher Output and Impact in Subject
The average CNCl for publishers in the category. This shows the relative impact of each publisher in the subject.

Publisher Output and Impact in Subject – Citation Rate
The average ratio of citations to documents for publishers with journals in the category in 2018.

Publisher Journal Impact Factor (2018)
The distribution of Journal Impact Factors by leading publishers in the subject.

Impact Factor Trends for the Category
Benchmark journal performance with average and median JIFs for each JCR year. Journals with a JIF above the median are in the upper quartiles.
Which organizations and funders are influential

**Organization Type and Category Impact**
Organization types for authors – the balance between academic, health, research institutes, government, corporate and others.

**International Collaborations by Organizations**
The percentage of documents from leading institutions with authors from more than one country/region. This shows the international diversity and collaboration in the category.

**Organizations and Category Impact**
The leading organizations in the subject category and their normalized citation impact. Which are the most influential institutions?

**Funding Agencies and Category Impact**
The leading funding agencies in the subject category and their normalized citation impact. Who are the core funders in the subject?
The relevance and influence of open access in the subject category

Open Access Proportion in Subject
How much of the category is open access – gold DOAJ titles or other gold in subscription titles?

Overall DOAJ Gold Open Access Output Trend in Subject
Publication output trend for DOAJ Gold titles in the Web of Science Core Collection.
Who’s publishing what — open or closed?

Open Access Distribution by Publishers and Journals
Breakdown by both publishers and their journals in the category.

Overall Open Access by Publishers and Journals
Output by both publishers and their journals in the category.
Regional preferences — publishers and journals

Regional Profiles
Analysis of publishers and journals by top 20 countries/regions.

What does this tell you?
Which are the preferred publishing destinations of authors in leading countries/regions.
Subject Area / Opportunity Analysis
CARDIAC & CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEMS
Science Citation Index Expanded 2018

CARDIAC & CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEMS deals with the diagnosis and treatment of cardiac disease, including pharmacology, surgery, transplantation, and more. It also appears in categories such as cancer, hypertension, and diabetes.

This report reveals publication trends in each journal category over the past five years, with special analysis of content published in 2018. Journal and publisher performance is grouped by geographical region and country/region, allowing for comparison between journals and publishers within and across countries.

To learn more, please visit discover.clarivate.com/PublisherAnalysis.
Publisher Analytics

Benchmarking journal and publisher performance
Comparing and contextualising across various indicators and measures
Publisher Subject Output Analysis

Data to help understand performance within a subject category.

Web of Science Publisher Analytics Report

PSYCHOLOGY, APPLIED

Publisher Impact and Document Output (2016)

This report is for a selected publication period (December 2016 to December 2015). The JIF factor was 0.500 in 2004, but it dropped to 0.200 in 2005. The impact factor can be calculated over a five-year period (July 2004 to June 2005). The impact factor measures the average number of citations received in a year (2004) by papers published in the journal during the two preceding years (2002-2003).

Data to help understand performance within a subject category.
Number of Documents
Published by leading publishers (or journals) in the category—proportional to area of circle.

Average Journal Impact Factor
The average impact of those publishers (or journals) in the category—height on y-axis

What does this tell you?
Who are the most influential publishers in a subject category.
JCR Publisher
Subject Analysis
Core JCR metrics for lead publishers in a subject category and year-vs-year benchmarking
JCR Publisher Overview Analysis
Key metrics for Publishers with multiple titles in Journal Citation Reports
Understanding change

Average JIF Percentile Change per Subject Category 2017-2018 JCR

Subject categories in which the publisher has seen an average increase or decline in ranking.

What does this tell you?
How a publisher’s ranking in the specified category has changed from one JCR edition to next – what has improved and what hasn’t.
Thank you